



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2019

Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
&	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	ational Overview		Р	rin	cip	le		General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG	·	✓	-							
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	✓	Public							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	✓	Public							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	✓	Public							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	✓	Private							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	CCStrategy and Governance							Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓		
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓		
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓			
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	Conflicts of interest ✓ Public								✓		
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓		
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓		
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓		
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities Public									✓		
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓		
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓		
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives ✓ Public					✓	✓					
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	✓								
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓					
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓			
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓					
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓								
SG 13 CC		✓	Private							✓		
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓								
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓		
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓								
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓		
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓		
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓		
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓			
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Indirect -	Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring							Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6				
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓									
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓									
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a		✓								
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~									
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓									
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	✓									
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓								
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	✓									
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓				
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-										

Direct - I	rect - Listed Equity Incorporation								Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6					
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓										
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓										
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓										
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓										
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓										
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓										
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	~										
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓										
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-											

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership							Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓						
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓					
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓							
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓							
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓							
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓							
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Direct -	Direct - Fixed Income							le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Public	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	✓	Private	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	1	Public	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	✓	Public	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	8	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Public							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	~	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct -	Direct – Private Equity							Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
PE 01	Description of approach to RI	✓	Public	✓					✓			
PE 02	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓							
PE 03	Fund placement documents and RI	8	n/a	✓			✓		✓			
PE 04	Formal commitments to RI	8	n/a				✓					
PE 05	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	1	Public	~								
PE 06	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	~		✓						
PE 07	Encouraging improvements in investees	✓	Private	✓	✓							
PE 08	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓								
PE 09	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	*	Public		✓							
PE 10	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓							
PE 11	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	✓	Private		✓							
PE 12	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	✓	Private		✓	✓						
PE 13	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	✓	Public		✓							
PE 14	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓							
PE 15	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	✓	Private	✓	✓							
PE 16	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	8	n/a						✓			
PE End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Direct - F	Direct - Property								Principle					
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4							
PR 01	Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy	✓	Public	✓					✓					
PR 02	Fund placement documents and RI	8	n/a	✓			✓		✓					
PR 03	Formal commitments to RI	8	n/a				✓							
PR 04	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	~										
PR 05	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	✓		✓								
PR 06	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Public	✓										
PR 07	ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers	✓	Public				~							
PR 08	ESG issues in post-investment activities	✓	Public		✓									
PR 09	Proportion of assets with ESG targets that were set and monitored	✓	Public		✓	✓								
PR 10	Certification schemes, ratings and benchmarks	✓	Public		✓									
PR 11	Proportion of developments and refurbishments where ESG issues were considered	✓	Public		~									
PR 12	Proportion of property occupiers that were engaged with	✓	Public		✓									
PR 13	Proportion of green leases or MOUs referencing ESG issues	✓	Public		✓									
PR 14	Proportion of assets engaged with on community issues	✓	Public		✓									
PR 15	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Public	✓	✓									
PR 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your property investments	✓	Public	~		✓								
PR End	Module confirmation page	✓	-											

Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	Dasic	IIIIOI	mation				
00 01		Mar	ndatory		Public	Gateway/Peering	General
	OO 01.	.1	Select the type that bes	t describes	your organisation of	or the services you provide.	
			orate pension or superann				
			e pension or superannuation company	on or retirer	ment or provident fu	und or plan	
	○ Fou						
	○ End						
		-	nent finance institution	controlled	fund		
	○ Res		sovereign or government ice	Controlled	iuiiu		
	O Othe	•					
OO 02	2	Mar	ndatory		Public	Peering	General
			•				
	OO 02.	1	Select the location of yo	our organisa	ation's headquarter	s.	
	Finland	b					
	00 02.	2	Indicate the number of	countries in	which you have of	fices (including your headquarter	s).
	0 1	_			The state of	mood (morading your modaquarter	<i>-</i> , -
	O 2-5						
	O 6-10)					
	○ >10						
	00 02.	.3	Indicate the approximat	e number c	of staff in your organ	nisation in full-time equivalents (F	TE).
			FTE				
	532						
OO 03	3	Mar	ndatory		Public	Descriptive	General
			Indicate whether you be	vyo ovboidia	rice within your er	renientian that are also DDI signs	atorico in
	OO 03.	.1	their own right.	ave subsidia	anes within your org	ganisation that are also PRI signa	atones in
	○ Yes						
	No						
00 04	1	Mar	ndatory		Public	Gateway/Peering	General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		44	015	000	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		50	216	411	193

 $\hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 06 Mandatory Pu	lic Descriptive	General
--------------------	-----------------	---------

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- O as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	10-50%
Fixed income	10-50%	<10%
Private equity	<10%	<10%
Property	<10%	<10%
Infrastructure	0	<10%
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	10-50%
Fund of hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0



Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

'Other (1)' specified

Corporate loans

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

O Yes

No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

90

Emerging Markets

9

Frontier Markets

1

Other Markets



Total 100%

100%

	Asset clas	ss implementation gateway indic	cators		
00 10) Ma	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General
	OO 10.1	Select the active ownership activiti	es your organisation	n implemented in the reporting ye	ar.
		Listed equity – engagement			
	☑ We er	ngage with companies on ESG factors	via our staff, collab	orations or service providers.	
	□ We re	quire our external managers to engage	e with companies o	n ESG factors on our behalf.	
	□ We do	o not engage directly and do not requir	e external manager	s to engage with companies on E	SG factors.
		Listed equity – voting			
	☑ We ca	ast our (proxy) votes directly or via ded	licated voting provic	ers	
	□ We re	quire our external managers to vote or	n our behalf.		
	□ We do	not cast our (proxy) votes directly and	d do not require ext	ernal managers to vote on our be	half
		Fixed income SSA – engagem	ent		
	□We	engage with SSA bond issuers on ES	G factors via our st	aff, collaborations or service provi	ders.
	□ We	require our external managers to enga	age with SSA bond	issuers on ESG factors on our be	half.
		do not engage directly and do not req actors. Please explain why you do not		gers to engage with SSA bond iss	uers on
		Please explain why you do engage with companies or	o not engage directl n ESG factors.	y and do not require external mar	nagers to
	Our	SSA-portfolio consists of government	bonds, where we s	ee limited effect in engagement.	
		Fixed income Corporate (finan	cial) – engagement		
	☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.				
	☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.				
		do not engage directly and do not reqs. Please explain why you do not.	uire external manaç	gers to engage with companies or	n ESG



	Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement				
	☑ We eng	gage with companies on ESG facto	rs via our staff, col	llaborations or service providers	
	□ We req	quire our external managers to enga	age with companie	s on ESG factors on our behalf.	
		not engage directly and do not req lease explain why you do not.	uire external mana	gers to engage with companies	on ESG
00 11	Manda	atory	Public	Gateway	General
00		Select the internally managed asse investment decisions and/or your a			
		Listed equity			
	We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			
_	○ We do no	t do ESG incorporation.			
		Fixed income - SSA			
	We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			
_	○ We do no	t do ESG incorporation.			
		Fixed income - corporate (financi	al)		
	We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			
_	○ We do no	t do ESG incorporation.			
		Fixed income - corporate (non-fir	nancial)		
	We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			
_	○ We do no	t do ESG incorporation.			
		Private equity			
	We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			
_	○ We do no	ot do ESG incorporation.			
		Property			
	We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			
	○ We do no	ot do ESG incorporation.			
		Cash			
	○ We addre	ess ESG incorporation.			



 $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\, \odot}}$ We do not do ESG incorporation.

Other (1)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- \bigcirc We do not do ESG incorporation.

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05

Corporate loans

00 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	
	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - SSA	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	✓ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate	
(financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate	
(non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	<u> </u>
	Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process



	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Infrastructure	Infrastructure - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Hedge funds	Hedge funds - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fund of hedge funds	Fund of hedge funds - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation

00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Externally managed investments

In 2018 Varma defined a holistic ESG-process that sets the minimum requirements for ALL externally managed investments. This does not include money market funds, or certain Hedge Funds.

The ESG-process consists of 2 phases: Selection & Monitoring. This process sets the minimum requirements, and thus all of the different asset classes have asset class specific ESG-processes that take in to account their own specific ESG-related quiestions.

The selection process consists of a Written & Spoken portions. The written portion sets out a minimum required documentation that should be provided by the fund. Eg. ESG-policy, ESG case studies, and answers to asset class specific questionnaires provided by Varma. The spoken portion includes meetings & discussions with the investment



teams, and communication of Varma's principles for responsible investment & climate policy to the fund's investment team.

The monitoring phase sets the minimum expectations of reviewing the fund's ESG-reports, discussions about ESG-developements and ESG-cases with the company, reviewing and scoring the fund's ESG-performance and communicating possible updates in Varma's ESG-policies to the fund.

The ESG-process stated above is valid for all of the asset classes below, but in addittion there are asset class specific questions.

In 2018, we also completed a hand-out for fund managers. The handout provides information about Varma's requirements and values regarding ESG. This hand-out was sent to external managers at the end of 2018.

Active equity funds

Varma includes responsible investment criteria in all phases of the investment process. The focus is on manager's responsible investment policy and processes.

We require that our managers have a RI policy and prefer if they have integrated ESG in their investment process. We also look at the manager's voting and engagement policies and activities. The manager's RI reporting is another criteria. We could consider investing with managers without formal RI policies if they are committed to develop their RI approach to the level we require.

We strongly encourage managers sign up to PRI if they have not yet done it.

We score the managers in selection and monitoring phase based on the answers to our RI questionnaire, managers' published RI material and discussions with the manager, especially with the portfolio manager.

ESG issues are part of the discussions in fund meetings. In addition we screen all our active equity funds biannually for companies with breaches of international norms and conventions.

We expect carbon footprint reporting yearly.

Active fixed income funds

Varma includes responsible investment criteria in all phases of the investment process. The focus is on manager's responsible investment policy and processes.

We require that our managers have a RI policy, have signed up to PRI and/or integrate ESG in their investment process, and report the carbon footprint.

ESG issues are part of the discussions in fund meetings.

Private Equity

Varma Private Equity Team manages PE and infrastructure funds and also makes coinvestments.

Evaluation and assessment of the fund managers' RI principles has been incorporated into our investment and portfolio management processes.

Varma requires its PE managers to have RI principles either as a separate policy or part of other policies. Varma actively encourages our current PE managers to sign up to PRI. Varma also provides the managers with Varmas own climate policy statement.

We also require managers to report on ESG issues, preferably as a part of regular fund reporting. As a large investor, Varma has often the opportunity to be represented in the Advisory Board or Investor Committees of the funds. We use this channel actively to promote ESG issues.

Real Estate Funds

Varma Real Estate Indirect team invests in real estate backed comingled funds as well as club deals and joint ventures.

As part of the due diligence process our managers must comply with INREV standards (https://www.inrev.org). ESG issues are an integrate part of the latter. We rely on the procedures developed by INREV in evaluating and monitoring managers' RI practices. All our managers have solid ESG policies and procedures in place. Majority of our selected funds and club deals are part of GRESB. Most of the underlying assets are certified by LEED.

Varma Real estate team member has a seating in the INREV-boards, which is used as a channel to promote ESG issues.

Varma Real Estate team as a rule has a sit on the Advisory Board. We are actively motivating our managers to incorporate, improve and modify their applications of their ESG policy.

Hedge Funds

The assessment of fund investments focusses primarily on the fund management company's principles and policies for responsible investment. The fund's investment strategy is at the core of this assessment, as it provides the basic criteria for the funds' investments. More detailed fundspecific requirements depend on the fund's investment strategy and the market in which the fund invests.



Varma is a member of the Investor Chapter of the Hedge Fund Standards Board (HFSB). The HFSB is an initiative that is aimed at creating standards of good governance, transparency and ownership practices for the hedge fund industry. The role of the members of the Investor Chapter is to encourage hedge funds to adopt the standards and, of course, to use the criteria in their own investment processes.

As regards fund management companies, key factors to assess include the principles and policies for responsible investment. This means above all assessing governance, i.e. reviewing the incentive systems, conflicts of interest, internal processes and control measures. With respect to oversight of fund management companies' operations, we recommend that the majority of the members of its Board of Directors be independent of the company. Varma encourages fund management companies to publish their principles outlining governance policies and practices for implementing the principles. The HFSB standards cover core governance issues and provide the minimum requirements that Varma recommends for fundgovernance.

00 11.5

For your externally managed pooled funds, describe any mechanisms in place to set expectations as part of the appointment or commitment process.

Varma invests only in pooled funds, and thus the appointment process is not applicable. Varma communicates the ESG-related topics to the fund manager in several ways.

In the selection processs of all externally managed investments, Varma's portfolio manager communicates Varma's ESG-criterias both verbally and in writing. The portfolio managers provide the most recent version of Varma's Principles for Responsible investment, Climate policy, and in some cases the ownership policy. Also. as of 2018 a hand-out is distributed to current and potential fund managers which explains the impact of ESG to Varma's investment.

00 12 **Mandatory Public Gateway** General Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to 00 12.1 report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. Core modules Organisational Overview RI implementation directly or via service providers Direct - Listed Equity active ownership ☑ (Proxy) voting



Direct - Fixed Income

- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- ☑ Private Equity
- ☑ Property

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- ☑ Listed Equities
- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)
- ☑ Private Equity
- ☑ Property
- ✓ Infrastructure

Closing module

☑ Closing module

Peering questions

OO LE 01 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities



Strategies	Percentage of internally managed listed equities
Passive	○ >50%
	O 10-50%
	○ <10%
	● 0%
Active - quantitative (quant)	○ >50%
	O 10-50%
	O <10%
	● 0%
Active - fundamental and active - other	
	O 10-50%
	O <10%
	O 0%
Total 100%	

OO FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
----------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies



Туре	Passive	Active - quantitative	Active - fundamental & others	Total internally managed fixed income security
SSA	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%	● >50%○ 10-50%○ <10%○ 0%	100%
Corporate (financial)	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%	○ >50% ○ 10-50% ○ <10% ● 0%	>50%10-50%<10%0%	100%
Corporate (non- financial)	>50%10-50%<10%0 0%	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%	>50%10-50%<10%0%	100%

OO FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

SSA		
		Developed markets
	75	
		Emerging markets
	25	
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/-5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.



Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	>50%	○ >50%	4004
	○ 10-50%	10-50%	100%
	○ <10%	○ <10%	
	○ 0%	○ 0%	
Corporate (non-financial)	○ >50%	● >50%	
	10-50%	○ 10-50%	100%
	○ <10%	○ <10%	
	○ 0%	○ 0%	

OO PE 01	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General

00 PE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your organisation's internally managed private equity investments by investment strategy.



Investment strategy	Percentage of your internally managed private equity holdings (in terms of AUM)
Venture capital	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Growth capital	>50%10-50%€ <10%0%
(Leveraged) buy-out	>50%10-50%<10%0%
Distressed/Turnaround/Special Situations	>50%10-50%● <10%0%
Secondaries	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Other investment strategy, specify (1)	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Other investment strategy, specify (2)	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Total 100%	

OO PE 02	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General



OO PE 02.1

Indicate the level of ownership you typically hold in your private equity investments.

- a majority stake (>50%)
- 50% stake
- O a significant minority stake (between 10-50%)
- ⊕ a minority stake (<10%)
 </p>
- O a mix of ownership stakes

00 PR 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
	Biodiooc			

00 PR 01.1

Indicate the level of ownership you typically hold in your property investments.

- a majority stake (>50%)
- a 50% stake
- O a significant minority stake (between 10-50%)
- a limited minority stake (<10%)
- O a mix of ownership stakes
- \bigcirc N/A, we manage properties, new constructions and/or refurbishments on behalf of our clients, but do not hold equity in property on their behalf

00 PR 01.2

Provide a breakdown of your organisations allocation to Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) or similar

- >50%
- 10 50%
- <10%
- 0 0%

Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☑ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the followin	c
5G U1.3	indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following	٤

$\ensuremath{\square}$ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
$\ensuremath{\square}$ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
☑ Time horizon of your investment
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
☑ ESG incorporation approaches
☑ Active ownership approaches
☑ Reporting
☑ Climate change
☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Varma is as a pension fund a long term investor and acknowledges that ESG issues may have a major impact on investment returns in the longer term. Varma's investment policy includes Responsible Investment Principles and asset class specific Responsible Investment Practices. Varma's Climate Policy for Investments is also incorporated into the investment policy. The policies cover all of Varma's AUM. RI roles and responsibilities are defined in the practices.

Varma's RI principles are based on identifying the key responsibility aspects of investments and focussing on those. Thus our implementation of our RI principles varies by asset class.

Real economy impact is challenging to define and measure. In our direct investments we measure and report annually the carbon footprint for listed equities, corporate bonds and property. Our climate policy's main objective is incorporate the 1.5-degree target into our investment processes and investments . This is a longer term objective which may have real impact through our engagement with our investees and reweighting of investments. As for external assets, currently our best way to impact the real world is to encourage integration of ESG by the asset managers.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

We require that our investees comply with international agreements and standards, the most important of which are:

The UN Global Compact initiative on corporate responsibility

The OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises

The ILO labour conventions

For ethical reasons Varma excludes companies that concentrate on the manufacture of tobacco and nuclear weapons from its direct investments. Varma also refrains from investing in Utility companies that derive over 1/3 of their revenue from Coal.

Varma acts lawfully and with integrity and in compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. Varma will not enter into transactions with the main purpose of securing a tax advantage contrary to the intention of the legislator in enacting the relevant tax legislation.

Varma also has an ownership policy in place, which outlines Varma's activities as a major shareholder. Varma's goal is to support and encourage companies to succeed and thus safeguard and improve its long-term investment returns. Important premises of Varma's ownership policy are the equality of the shareholders, transparency and responsibility.



 \bigcirc No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-responsible-investment.pdf}$

- ☐ Attachment (will be made public)
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/vastuullisuus/climate-policy-for-varmas-investments.pdf

- ☐ Attachment (will be made public)
- ☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-ownership-policy.pdf}$

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.varma.fi/en/other/about-varma/corporate-governance/

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-responsible-investment-policy.pdf}$

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-responsible-investment-policy.pdf}$

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL

 $\underline{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-responsible-investment.pdf}$

 $\hfill\Box$ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-ownership-policy.pdf}$

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ (Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-ownership-policy.pdf}$

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/vastuut-eng.pdf

☐ Attachment

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account



		URL/Attachment
,	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://ww investme	ww.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/vastuullisuus/climate-policy-for-varmas- nts.pdf
	☐ Attachme	ent
	Time horizon	of your investment
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/vastuut-eng.pdf
	☐ Attachme	ent
$\sqrt{}$	Governance s	structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
		URL/Attachment
,	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/hallinto/corporate-governance-report.pdf
	☐ Attachme	ent
V	ESG incorpor	ation approaches
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://ww investme	ww.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-responsible- nt-policy.pdf
	☐ Attachme	ent
\checkmark	Active owners	ship approaches



		URL/Attachment			
	☑ URL				
		URL			
	https polic		s/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulo	ostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-	ownership-
	☐ Attac				
		URL/Attachment			
	☑ URL				
		URL			
	https	:://www.varma.fi/globalasset	s/vuosikertomus/varmas-a	nnual-and-csr-report-2017.pd	<u>f</u>
	☐ Attad				
		URL/Attachment			
	☑ URL				
		URL			
	https inves	:://www.varma.fi/globalasset stments.pdf	s/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/vas	stuullisuus/climate-policy-for-v	<u>/armas-</u>
	☐ Attac	chment t publicly disclose any inves	tment policy components		
SG 03	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
	SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation investment process.	on has a policy on managir	ng potential conflicts of interes	st in the
	Yes				



SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

The Amendment Act of 2014 of Pension Insurance Companies came in force January 1st, 2015. According to this Act pension companies are required to take precautions regarding their client companies in such a manner that the potential risk of conflict of interests is taken into account. The fundamental arrangement in Varma is that those Board members that represent Varma's clients are not allowed to participate in Board's decision making regarding Varma's investments or disinvestments in those client companies or Varma's other transactions with them.

In cases where a member of Varma's staff is involved in governance of a company, investment and divestment decisions regarding such a company's securities are made by Varma's Board of Directors.

The policy is publicly available in Varma's corporate governance report on our website:

https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/hallinto/corporate-governance-report.pdf

Varma's insider guidelines are taken into account at all stages of the discussion. Varma has clear rules and processes for obtaining inside information which ensure the correctness of the operations. As an investor, Varma complies with general insider guidelines that apply to professional investors. Varma addittionally has internal guidelines concerning inside information, specifying precise rules for the distribution of information and for setting a trading ban.

O No

SG 04	Vol	untary	Public	Descriptive	General
SG (04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a portfolio companies.	process for identifyi	ng and managing incide	ents that occur within
	es				
\circ N	0				
SG ()4.2	Describe your process on managing	g incidents		

Varma's listed equity and corporate bond investments are screened biannually for breaches of international norms and conventions. Our policy is to discuss the severe breaches internally, decide on the next steps and set objectives for our engagement with the company. In cases where we are a significant shareholder, we discuss the cases directly with companies. In cases where our ownership stake is small we use an external service provider. We consider excluding the companies from our direct investments if the engagement process is not successful within a preset timeframe.

In 2018, we have implemented a a data feed to Bloomberg, in order for the portfolio managers to have most up to date information about the incidents.

Objectives and strategies					
SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General	



SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- O Annually
- O Less frequently than annually

Governance and human resources

- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The objectives for responsible investments across all asset classes are reviewed at least bi annually. Asset class specific goals are set in the beginning of the year, and they are tracked on a quarterly basis. Carbon footprint targets were set in 2016, and they are tracked bi-annually with annual public statements.

SG 07.1 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General SG 07.1 Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment. Roles Oversight/accountability for responsible investment Implementation of responsible investment

☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

Select from the below internal roles

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee

- ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
- ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☑ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify

Directors for asset classes

✓ Internal Roles (triggers other options)



☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Portfolio managers
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Investment analysts
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Investor relations
☐ Other role, specify (1)
☐ Other role, specify (2)
☑ External managers or service providers
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Varma's Board of Directors has approved Varma's Responsible Investment Principles, and the Director of Responsible Investment presents the progress on different objectives at least biannually in the investment commitee. The owneship policy, and climate policy are also approved by the Board.

Varma's CIO is responsible for the oversight and general implementation of the Principles. The directors for asset classes are responsible for the asset class specific policies and implementation of the policies. Portfolio managers have the responsibility for integrating ESG issues into the investment decision-making. External managers have the responsibility of oversight and implementation of responsible investment on the terms agreed.

From 2017 onwards Varma has a separate ESG-division, which is lead by Director of Responsible Investment who is accountable to the CIO. The ESG-division co-ordinates and develops RI across all asset classes.

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

2



SG 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Varma has one dedicated Responsible Investment Analyst alongside the Director of Responsible investment. Portfolio managers are responsible for the implementation of ESG-related considerations in the investment decisions. During the summer, we have usually one dedicated trainee.

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

SG 07 CC	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
SG 07.	Indicate the roles in the organisation responsibilities for climate-related is		ht, accountability and/or manage	ment
	Board members or trustees			
	☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
	$\hfill\Box$ Assessment and management of climat	e-related issues		
	☐ No responsibility for climate-related issu	les		
	Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Investment Committee	Chief Investment C	officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (C	RO),
	☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ Assessment and management of climat	e-related issues		
	\square No responsibility for climate-related issu	ies		
	Other Chief-level staff or head	of department		
	☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ Assessment and management of climat	e-related issues		
	☐ No responsibility for climate-related issu	ies		
	Portfolio managers			
	✓ Oversight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
	☐ No responsibility for climate-related issu	ies		
_				
	Investment analysts			
	☐ Oversight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Assessment and management of climat	e-related issues		
	☐ No responsibility for climate-related issu	ies		



Dedicated responsible investment staff	
☐ Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues	
☑ Assessment and management of climate-related issues	
☐ No responsibility for climate-related issues	
External managers or service providers	
☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues	
☑ Assessment and management of climate-related issues	
☐ No responsibility for climate-related issues	
SG 07.6 CC For board level roles for which have climate-related issues oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how these responsibilities are executed.	
Varma's Board of Directors has approved Varma's Responsible Investment Principles, which includes Varma's climate policy for investments. The Director of Responsible Investment reports to the investment committee on a biannual basis on the progress of climate objectives.	
These objectives include carbon footprint of the investment portfolio, the underlying reasons for the decrease/increase in the carbon footprint, and the analysis of the impact of different sectors and companies to the footprint.	;
SG 07.7 For the management-level roles that assess and manage climate-related issues, provide further information on the structure and process involved.	
The management level roles are responsible for the ovesight of their specific asset class in reaching the short terr and long term objectives and targets.	n
SG 07.8 Explain how the organisation engages external investment managers on the TCFD recommendations and its implementation.	
☑ Incorporation of TCFD in Annual Report	

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Incorporation of TCFD in regular client reporting

 $\hfill\square$ Request that investment managers complete PRI Climate indicators

 $\hfill\square$ Request responses to TCFD Fund Manager questions in the PRI Asset Owner guide

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

 $\ oxdot$ Principles for Responsible Investment



	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
☐ Moder	rate
	iced
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
	of Hedge Fund Investments has been a member of PRI's Hedge Fund Steering Committee arma is also a chairperson for the RI HF DDQ workgroup.
	en taking part in developing the HF Industry Guide and in the development of HF DDQ, and numerous panel discussions in different events.
☐ Asian Corpora	ate Governance Association
☐ Australian Co	uncil of Superannuation Investors
☐ AFIC – La Co	mmission ESG
□ BVCA – Resp	onsible Investment Advisory Board
	Change
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic	
☐ Moder	rate
☐ Advan	ced
☐ CDP Forests	
☐ CDP Water	
☐ CFA Institute	Centre for Financial Market Integrity
☐ Code for Resp	ponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Code for Resp	ponsible Finance in the 21st Century
☐ Council of Ins	titutional Investors (CII)
☐ Eumedion	
☐ Extractive Ind	ustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ ESG Researc	h Australia
☐ Invest Europe	Responsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investo	ors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact	Leavestie et Nature de (OUN)
	Investing Network (GIIN)



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)						
☑ Basic						
☐ Moderate						
□ Advanced						
☐ Green Bond Principles						
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)						
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)						
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)						
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)						
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)						
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES						
□ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum						
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance						
☑ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify						
FINSIF, Finland Sustainable Investment Forum						
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)						
☑ Basic						
☐ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						
☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance						
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)						
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)						
☐ United Nations Global Compact						
☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify						
FFI Climate indicators working group						
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)						
□ Basic						
☑ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						
☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify						
Standards Board for Alternative Investments						
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)						
□ Basic						
☑ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

The head of hedge fund Investments has been taking part in panel discussions in four different occasions. Varma has hosted an event annually.

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Cli	mate Leade	ership Council					
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)						
	☐ Basic						
	☐ Moder	ate					
		ced					
		Provide a brief commentary on [Optional]	the level of your or	ganisation's involvement in the in	itiative.		
		arma sits on the board of Climate ions provided by CLC.	leadership Council	. Varma has given imput to the			
☑ Oth	her collaboi	rative organisation/initiative, speci	fy				
Cli	mate action	100+					
		Your organisation's role in the i	nitiative during the	reporting year (see definitions)			
	☑ Basic						
	☐ Moder	ate					
	□ Advan	ced					
2	Mandate Disclos	ory to Report Voluntary to e	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1		
	Inc ma	dicate approximately what percen anagement are managed by PRI	tage (+/- 5%) of yo signatories.	ur externally managed assets und	der		
		%					
55							
	Mandat	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4		
SG 10		dicate if your organisation promot itiatives.	es responsible inve	estment, independently of collabo	rative		



Yes

SG 09.2

SG 10

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Members of the Investment staff have collaborated with different organizations in educating professionals when it comes to ESG and Investments

	Frequency of contribution
O Quarterly	or more frequently
O Biannuall	у
O Annually	
O Less freq	uently than annually
Ad hoc	
○ Other	
Provided finan	cial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
 Provided input	and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

We have collaborated with students making their Master's or Bachelor's thesis on responsible investment in portfolio management, climate change effects on investments among other topics.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- $\bigcirc \; \mathsf{Ad} \; \mathsf{hoc}$
- Other

☑ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

We are publicly supporting the TCFD, and CDP. We are also encouraging companies on better disclosure practices and transparency on 1 on 1 meetings.



	Frequency of contribution					
Quart	erly or more frequently					
O Biann	○ Biannually					
O Annu	ally					
O Less	frequently than annually					
O Ad ho	С					
Other						
☑ Spoke pub	licly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment					
	Description					
Represent FIBS and S	atives of Varma have spoken in events organized for example by Aalto Executive Education, SBAI,					
	Frequency of contribution					
Quart	erly or more frequently					
O Biann	ually					
O Annu	ally					
O Less	frequently than annually					
O Ad ho	C					
Other						
☐ Wrote and	published in-house research papers on responsible investment					
	d the adoption of the PRI					
	Description					
	age our external managers to adopt PRI, if they are not yet signatories. We also require the to explain the reasons, if they are not yet signatories.					
	Frequency of contribution					
Quart	erly or more frequently					
O Biann	ually					
O Annu	ally					
O Less	frequently than annually					
O Ad ho	oc .					
Other						
☑ Responde	d to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)					

We have participated in for example OECD and EU surveys concerning Responsible Investments. WWF, novethic



	Frequency of contribution
Quarterly	or more frequently
O Biannuall	ly
Annually	
O Less freq	juently than annually
O Ad hoc	
Other	
Wrote and pub	plished articles on responsible investment in the media
	Description
general. Regai	cations department is responsible for promoting responsible investing in different medias in rding blogs about responsible investment our portfolio managers wrote for example blogs uity funds, about green bonds and climate change in 2017.
	Frequency of contribution
Quarterly	or more frequently
O Biannuall	ly
O Annually	
O Less freq	uently than annually
O Ad hoc	
Other	
A member of F	PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
	Description
Varma is a me	ember in the Hedge Fund Advisory Board in 2018
	Frequency of contribution
 Quarterly 	or more frequently
Biannuall	ly
O Annually	
○ Less freq	juently than annually
C Less Heq	
O Ad hoc	
•	
Ad hocOther	of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

The CEO of Varma sits on the board of Financial Think tank



	Frequency of contribution						
Quarterl	Quarterly or more frequently						
○ Biannua	lly						
○ Annually	/						
○ Less fre	quently than annually						
O Ad hoc	○ Ad hoc						
○ Other							
Other, specify	У						
	specify description						
Internal educ	ation						
	Description						
Education ab	out responsible investment ir	n internal training pr	rogram.				
	Frequency of contribution						
○ Quarterl	y or more frequently						
O Biannua	○ Biannually						
Annually	/						
○ Less frequently than annually							
○ Ad hoc							
○ Other							
○ No							
Outsourcing to fic	duciary managers and in	vestment consu	ıltants				
Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4			
New selection options	s have been added to this in	ndicator. Please re	eview your prefilled responses	carefully.			
SG 12.1 Indica	te whether your organisation	uses investment co	onsultants.				
☐ Yes, we use investment consultants							
$\ensuremath{ riangledown}$ No, we do not use investment consultants.							
ESG issues in ass	set allocation						



PRI 1

Descriptive

Public

Mandatory

SG ·

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation undertakes scenario analysis and/or modelling and provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

☐ Yes, to assess future ESG factors

☑ Yes, to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe

Multiple scenario analyses were done in 2018. We were part of a WWF study, that analyzed large asset owners, and their risk to certain industries. Another analysis was also made by a third party in late 2018.

☐ No, not to assess future ESG/climate-related issues

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

☑ Allocation between asset classes

☐ Determining fixed income duration

☑ Allocation of assets between geographic markets

☑ Sector weightings

☑ Other, specify

Climate policy has determined short term targets for carbon emissions, which has an effect on sector weights.

☐ We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 14 CC Voluntary Public General

SG 14.6 CC Please provide further details on these key metric(s) used to assess climate related risks and opportunities.



Metric Type	Coverage	Purpose	Metric Unit	Metric Methodology
Climate- related targets	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Targets are set for reducing our carbon intensity for equities, fixed income and real estate.	tCo2/M€ revenue	Targets are -25%, -15% and -15% for equities, fixed income and real estate respectivelly. Time span 2015-2020. We have also set a goal to adjust our portfolio in such a way that is in line with the paris agreement.
Weighted average carbon intensity	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Tracking our Co2 emissions in equities and fixed income	tCo2/M€ revenue	tCo2/M€Revenue
Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Tracking our Co2 emissions in equities and fixed income and real estate	tCo2	tCo2
Portfolio carbon footprint	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Tracking our Co2 emissions in equities and fixed income and real estate	tCo2	tCo2
Total carbon emissions	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Tracking our Co2 emissions in equities and fixed income and real estate	tCo2	tCo2
Carbon intensity	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	Tracking our Co2 emissions in equities and fixed income and real estate	tCo2/M€Revenue	tCo2/M€Revenue
Exposure to carbon-related assets	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets ☑ Minority of assets	To track our exposure to carbon intensive industries in listed equities and listed fixed income.	%	% of portfolio
Other emissions metrics	☐ All assets ☐ Majority of assets			



☐ Minority		
of assets		

SG 14.7 CC

Describe in further detail the key targets.

Targettype	Time Frame	Description	Attachments
☐ Absolute target ☐ Intensity target	2015-2020	We have set targets to reduce our carbon intensity in listed equity, fixed income and real estate by -25% 15%, and -15% respectively, by 2020.	File 1:climate-policy-for- varmas-investments.pdf
✓ Absolute target ☐ Intensity target	Paris agreement	We have set a long term goal for our total investment portfolio to be in line with the paris agreement.	
☐ Absolute target ☐ Intensity target			
☐ Absolute target ☐ Intensity target			
☐ Absolute target ☐ Intensity target			

SG 14.8 CC Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risks management processes for identifying, assessing, and managing climate-related risks.

- O Process for climate-related risks is integrated into overall risk management
- Process for climate-related risks is not integrated into our overall risk management

Please describe

The process for climate related risks is currently part of the investment decision making by the portfolio manager. We have plans on integrating the climate risk assessment to the centralized risk management.

SG 14.9 CC Indicate whether the organisation undertakes active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

Yes



Please describe

We encourage companies to report in line with TCFD recommendations. This may happen in seminars, or presentations that are made by Varma personnel, or in one on one meetings with companies. Our CEO has also publicly stated that TCFD is something that should be adapted.

O No, we do not engage

SG 15	5	Mano Discl	datory to Report Voluntary to lose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	SG 15	.1	Indicate if your organisation allo environmental and social theme		r manages, funds based on	specific
	Yes	3				
	S	G 15.2	Indicate the percentage of	your total AUM in	vested in environmental and	social themed areas.
			%			
	2	!				
	s	G 15.3	Specify which thematic area particular asset class and p	a(s) you invest in, provide a brief des	indicate the percentage of y	your AUM in the
			Area			
		☑ Ene	ergy efficiency / Clean technology	/		
			Asset class invested			
		V	☐ Listed equity			
			% of AUM			
			1			
			☐ Fixed income - SSA			
			☐ Fixed income - Corporate (finar	•		
		V	∑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-	financial)		
			% of AUM			
			1			



☐ Fixed income - Securitised
☐ Private equity
□ Property
□ Infrastructure
☐ Hedge funds
□ Cash
□ Other (1)
Brief description and measures of investment
Varma established a sustainability themed portfolio in listed equities in 2016. The portfolio invests in sectors and companies which are well placed to benefit from climate change. Also other sustainability themed investments are included in the portfolio.
Varma also has investments in green bonds and in a externally managed climate & environment themed equity fund.
☐ Renewable energy
☐ Green buildings
☐ Sustainable forestry
☐ Sustainable agriculture
☑ Microfinance
Asset class invested
☐ Listed equity
☑ Fixed income - SSA
% of AUM
0
☐ Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
☐ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
☐ Fixed income - Securitised
☐ Private equity
□ Property
☐ Infrastructure
☐ Hedge funds
□ Cash
□ Other (1)



Brief description and measures of investment

Varma has made investments to Micro finance fund.

☐ SME financing
$\hfill\Box$ Social enterprise / community investing
☐ Affordable housing
☐ Education
☐ Global health
□ Water
\square Other area, specify

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

 \bigcirc No

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	These are special type of loans that are provided to companies that are also our customers. We do not have the right to decline on giving out the loan to a company, as it is mandated by the Finnish law. But we can monitor the composition of the loan portfolio and examine if there are any potential ESG related risks. In 2018, we made a mapping of the underlying companies' operating industries in order to find out what could be the climate related risk exposure of this portfolio.
	We have also organized trainings for the portfolio managers in order to deepen the ESG-knowledge of individuals at Varma.

SG 17 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 17.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Hedge funds - DDQ	Select whether you use the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ • Yes • No
Hedge funds	Varma has a hedge fund specific responsible investment policy in place. As well, there is a specific ESG questionnaire related to the policy, which is more thorough than the HF DDQ. Hedge fund managers need to fill in our ESG questionnaire before investment decision will be made by Varma. Thus, ESG aspects are part of investment considerations and due diligence. Varma engages with managers on RI topics. In 2018, we updated our ESG-policy for all external investments, which sets out the minimum requirements for fund selection and monitoring processes.
	The Director of Hedge fund investments is on the board of SBAI and the UN Hedge Funds Advisory Commitee.
Hedge funds - DDQ	Select whether you use the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ • Yes • No
Fund of hedge funds	Varma has a hedge fund specific responsible investment policy in place. As well, there is a specific ESG questionnaire related to the policy, which is more thorough than the HF DDQ. Hedge fund managers need to fill in our ESG questionnaire before investment decision will be made by Varma. Thus, ESG aspects are part of investment considerations and due diligence. Varma engages with managers on RI topics.
	In 2018, we updated our ESG-policy for all external investments, which sets out the minimum requirements for fund selection and monitoring processes. The Director of Hedge fund investments sat on the board of SBAI and the UN Hedge Funds Advisory Commitee.

	Communication					
SG 1	9	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2, 6
	SG 19	.1	Indicate whether your organisation of Select the frequency of the disclosurable the public information.			

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring



○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
• We disclose to the public
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same
Yes
○ No
Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
☑ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes
☐ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
\square E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active ownership
☑ Other
Specify
Climate policy and a handout for fund managers. The Hand-out is provided for fund managers only.
Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently
☐ Biannually
☐ Annually
☑ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
URL
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-responsible-investment.pdf

Do you disclose?

 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.



Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- \bigcirc We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
O Broad approach to ESG incorporation	
 Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used 	
Frequency	
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	
□ Biannually	
☑ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-responsible-investment-policy.pdf	
URL	
https://www.varma.fi/en/other/about-varma/annual-report/	

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- \bigcirc We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public



The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy
☐ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals
✓ Number of engagements undertaken
☐ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
☐ Breakdown of engagements by region
☐ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives
☐ Examples of engagement cases
☑ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)
☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured
☐ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
☐ Other information
Frequency □ Quarterly or more frequently
☐ Biannually
☐ Annually
□ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-responsible-investment.pdf
URL https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/vuosikertomus/varman-vuosi-ja-yritysvastuuraportti-2018.pdf



Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?		
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.		
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.		
We disclose to the public		
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same		
Yes		
○ No		
Disclosure to public and URL		
Disclosure to public and URL		
Disclose all voting decisions		
Disclose some voting decisions		
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management		
	+	-
Frequency		
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	-	
□ Biannually		
☑ Annually		
☐ Less frequently than annually		
☐ Ad hoc/when requested		
	+	J
URL		
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-		
responsible-investment.pdf		
Fixed income		
Do you disclose?		
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.		
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.		
We disclose to the public		
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same		
Yes		
\circ No		



Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
Broad approach to RI incorporation
Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used
Frequency
□ Quarterly
□ Biannually
☑ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad hoc/when requested
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-responsible-investment.pdf URL https://www.varma.fi/en/other/about-varma/annual-report/
Private equity
Do you disclose?
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
 We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
We disclose to the public
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same



Principles for Responsible Investment

YesNo

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
☑ ESG information in relationship to our pre-investment activities	1
□ ESG information in relationship to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities	
☐ Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance	
☑ Other	
Specify	
Climate policy	
Frequency	
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	1
□ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☑ Less frequently than annually	
□ Ad-hoc/when requested	
	+
URL	
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-	
responsible-investment.pdf	
URL	
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/vastuullisuus/climate-policy-for-varmas-	1
investments.pdf	
	_
Property	
Do you disclose?	
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.	
O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
We disclose to the public	
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same	
Yes	



 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
☐ ESG information on how you select property investments	
☑ ESG information on how you monitor and manage property investments	
☑ Information on your property investments' ESG performance	
☑ Other	
Specify	
Climate policy	
Frequency	
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	
□ Biannually	
☑ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	
URL	
https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-principles-for-	
responsible-investment.pdf	
URL	
- OKL	
https://www.varma.fi/en/other/about-varma/annual-report/	

Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Listed Equity and Fixed Income Strategies

SAM 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

SAM 01.1

Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf for all your listed equity and/or fixed income assets:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	
Screening	\checkmark			
Thematic				
Integration	V	\checkmark	Image: section of the content of the	
None of the above				

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI -Corporate (financial)	FI -Corporate (non- financial)
Screening			
Thematic			
Integration			
None of the above	Ø	V	Ø

SAM 01.2 Additional information. [Optional

In 2018, we formalized a high-level process for all external investments, that set the minimum requirements for each asset class when selecting and monitoring external investments from an ESG perspective. The ESG analysis is wholly integrated to the investment decision making process. We also begun to use a hand-out, that is provided to the fund managers. The hand-out explains Varma's approach to ESG, values, and stance towards different ethical questions. The purpose is to educate the managers, and to keep them up to date what is important to Varma when it comes to ESG.

Varma invests only in pooled equity funds. This means that we cannot require a manager to apply our ESG incorporation strategy or strategies on our behalf. However, their approach to ESG is carefully taken into account when selecting and monitoring active managers.



We require that the manager for an active equity fund has a responsible investment policy and practices which we review and score. Exceptions can be made if the manager can show that they consider ESG when making investment decisions without a formal policy and are committed to develop and formalize their ESG standards and practices to the level we require. We prefer the integration strategy where ESG is fully integrated into the investment decision process. Screening can be used to support this strategy. We also invest in some thematic ESG funds.

We get the information needed from managers by requiring them to answer our RI questionnaire, going through the manager's RI reporting and discussing the topic in meetings with managers. We also screen our active funds biannually for companies with breaches of international norms and conventions. We also require that the manager is able to report regularly carbon footprint of the fund that Varma is invested in.

We have not included ESG criteria in our general selection and monitoring of passive funds, however, equity ETFs are included in our carbon footprint reporting and we review carbon footprints of our passive equity investments where the data is available from time to time. We have also invested in passive funds that have an ESG/RI filter. This is in quite a small scale compared to the whole external equity portfolio but constantly growing in significance.

In Active Fixed Income funds, Varma requires a thematic approach to one fund, whereas in the other active funds, ESG integration is a requirement.

Selection								
SAM 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1				

SAM 02.1

Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	\triangleright			☑			
ESG incorporation requirements	V	\checkmark	\checkmark	☑	\checkmark	V	
ESG reporting requirements	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	V	Ø	V	V	V
Other							
No RI information covered in the selection documentation							

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach



Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements							☑
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V			V	V	Ø
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	$\overline{\searrow}$	abla	☑	☑		▼	☑
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	\overline{\chi}				V		✓
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	V				V		V
Other							
None of the above							

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$				V	V	V
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation		V	Ø	V	V	V	Ø
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	\(\)						V
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry		V	V		V		V
Other							
None of the above							

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



					1			
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)		Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	\triangleright							
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	\(\)					☑		V
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	\triangleright					V		V
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)	\triangleright			Ø				☑
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$					abla		✓
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives	\triangleright							
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	abla					V	V	☑
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents						V		V
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail	\overline{V}	\checkmark	Ø			V		✓
Other, specify	V							

None of the above				

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

We ask managers to show concrete specific ESG cases and examples and how they have impacted their portfolio (eg. investment, divestment or exclusion decision).

Varma has launched its own internal common minimun requirements for ESG in manager selection and monitoring covering all asset classes. In selection phase we require ESG specific documentation from managers like ESG policy and practices, latest PRI-report (if available), other ESG reports and sample cases. We also have asset class specific questionnaires that we send to managers. We speak about ESG topics in the meetings with investment teams and communicate Varma's principles for responsible investment and the climate policy to managers and investment teams. We have a written handout for his purpose.

SAM 02.3	Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components								
☑ Review E	☑ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.								
☐ Review re	☐ Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)								
☑ Review pu	☑ Review publicly available information on ESG/RI								
☑ Review as	ssurance process on ESG/RI data and processes								
☑ Review PI	RI Transparency Reports								
☐ Request a	and discuss PRI Assessment Reports								
	☑ Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes								
☑ Site visits	☑ Site visits to potential managers offices								
☐ Other, spe	ecify								

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
ESG performance development targets					▽	▽	
ESG score	V						
ESG weight	V						
Real world economy targets							
Other RI considerations	V						
None of the above		V	\checkmark	V			



SAM 02.4

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

Varma launched a climate change policy in May 2016. Since then we have encouraged equity managers to develop a climate change policy and start reporting their carbon footprint regularly that is nowadays a requirement for our active equity funds.

SAM 02.5

Describe how the ESG information reviewed and discussed affects the selection decision making process.[OPTIONAL]

ESG evaluation is a standard part of a general equity manager selection process. We require that our managers have a RI policy and prefer active equity fund managers who have fully integrated ESG into their investment process. We score the managers in a selection phase based mainly on the answers to our RI questionnaire, managers' published RI material and discussions with the manager, especially with the portfolio manager. If there are two otherwise equally strong candidates, most likely the one with better ESG process and practices will be selected.

ESG became official part of our investment memos in real estate investments.

	Monit	oring				
SAM	05	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	SAM 0	5.1	When monitoring managers, indication information your organisation typication			nent

				<u> </u>			1
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)	V				V	V	
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	V					☑	
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	V				V	V	☑
ESG portfolio characteristics	V				\checkmark	\checkmark	V
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	V				V	V	
Information on any ESG incidents	V	\	\checkmark	Ø	V		V
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments							
PRI Transparency Reports							
PRI Assessment Reports							
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation	V						
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	V						
Other general RI							

considerations in investment management agreements; specify				
None of the above				

SAM 05.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure
ESG score	V						
ESG weight	V						
ESG performance minimum threshold							
Real world economy targets							
Other RI considerations	V				▽	V	Ø
None of the above		V	V	V			

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

Varma launched a climate change policy in May 2016. Since then we have reviewed and scored all our existing active equity managers' climate change policies and approaches. We require that our equity funds report their carbon footprint annually and we monitor actively the development in climate change theme reporting in the coming years.

Varma requires Private Equity managers to report on their ESG performance, but reporting is accepted in managers' formats.

Varma requires Real Estate managers to report on their ESG performance, but reporting is accepted in managers' formats. Some smaller managers do not have official ESG reporting to investors, than a follow-up conversations are held.

SAM 05.3

Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's monitoring processes of external managers. [OPTIONAL]

Varma has launched its own internal common minimun requirements for ESG in manager selection and monitoring covering all asset classes. In motoring phase we review managers' ESG reports, discuss with investment teams on ESG themes and possible cases and how the manager and/or investment teams have developed their ESG processes and practices. We review and score funds' ESG performance and also communicate possible updates in Varma's ESG policies to the managers and/or investment teams. We have a written handout for this purpose.



Outputs and outcomes

SAM 08 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Public Descriptive

SAM 08.1 Describe how you ensure that best RI practice is applied to managing your assets

☑ Encourage improved RI practices with existing investment managers

Measures

We encourage all our managers to have a formalized RI policy and to become signatory to PRI or equivalent initiative relevant for the specific asset class. We review their RI policies and practices and ensure they fulfill our requirements relevant to the type of investment.

☑ Move assets over to investment managers with better RI practices

Measures

Reviewing managers' RI practices allows us to benchmark managers with similar investment profiles and strategies and take this into account in the manager selection process.

☐ Other, specify

☐ None of the above

SAM 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Varma has launched its own internal common minimun requirements for ESG in manager selection and monitoring covering all asset classes. This is to ensure that the same minimum ESG standards apply to all asset classes even though practices may differ between asset classes. We have also written a handout of Varma's approach to ESG that can be sent out to managers. It gives a compact overview of Varma's approach to responsible investment and provides insight how ESG is carried out in day-to-day operations at Varma. The topics like ESG-integration, screening, active ownership, sustainability themed investments, carbon footprinting and ESG-methods used by Varma in different externally managed asset classes are included in the handout.

SAM 09 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1



PRI 1

Topic or issue	ESG process for manager selection and monitoring
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	☑ All asset classes
	☐ Listed Equity
	☐ Fixed income – SSA
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (non financial)
	☐ Private equity
	□ Property
	□ Infrastructure
Scope and process	Varma wanted to launch its own internal common minimun requirements for ESG in manager selection and monitoring covering all asset classes. This was to ensure that the same minumum ESG standards apply to all asset classes even though practices may differ between asset classes.
	In selection phase we require ESG specific documentation from managers like ESG policy and practices, latest PRI-report (if available), other ESG reports and sample cases. We also have asset class specific questionnaires that we send to managers. We speak about ESG topics in the meetings with investment teams and communicate Varma's principles for responsible investment and the climate policy to managers and investment teams.
	In monitoring phase we review managers' ESG reports, discuss with investment teams on ESG themes and possible cases and how the manager and/or investment teams have developed their ESG processes and practices. We review and score funds' ESG performance and also communicate possible updates in Varma's ESG policies to the managers and/or investment teams.
Outcomes	The common internal minimun criteria has helped to syncronize the ESG process in external manager selection and monitoring.

 $\ \ \, \square$ Add Example 2



Topic or issue	Passive equity investments
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	 □ All asset classes ☑ Listed Equity □ Fixed income – SSA □ Fixed income – corporate (financial) □ Fixed income – corporate (non financial) □ Private equity □ Property □ Infrastructure
Scope and process	We had an internal target to increase exposure to ESG/RI filtered passive listed equity investments.
Outcomes	The exposure to ESG/RI filtered passive funds and ETFs was increased in absolute and relative terms.

☑ Add Example 3

Topic or issue	Handout of Varma's approach to ESG
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	✓ All asset classes □ Listed Equity □ Fixed income – SSA □ Fixed income – corporate (financial) □ Fixed income – corporate (non financial) □ Private equity □ Property □ Infrastructure
Scope and process	We wanted to provide a written handout of Varma's approach to ESG that could be sent out to managers and provide information about Varma's ESG policy and practices in a compact form. It gives an overview of Varma's approach to responsible investment and provides insight how ESG is carried out in day-to-day operations at Varma. It includes topics like ESG-integration, screening, active ownership, sustainability themed investements, carbon footprinting and ESG-methods used by Varma in different externally managed asset classes.
Outcomes	The handout was published.

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Add Example 4



Topic or issue	ESG part of investment memo in indirect real estate investments
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	□ All asset classes □ Listed Equity □ Fixed income – SSA □ Fixed income – corporate (financial) □ Fixed income – corporate (non financial) □ Private equity □ Property □ Infrastructure
Scope and process	ESG became an official part of the investment decision making process. As of the reporting year ESG is part of each investment memorandum filed for approval our investment committee.
Outcomes	

	Λdd	Examp	ما	L
\Box	Auu		ıe	



 $[\]hfill\square$ We are not able to provide examples

Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of s your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%) ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply) Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) Screening and integration strategies	PRI 1
LEI 01.1 your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your active equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%) ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply) □ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) □ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) □ Screening and integration strategies	trategies you apply to
 □ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) □ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) □ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) ☑ Screening and integration strategies 	
 ☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) ☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) ☑ Screening and integration strategies 	
☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies) ☑ Screening and integration strategies	
☑ Screening and integration strategies	
Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied % 95	
☐ Thematic and integration strategies	
☐ Screening and thematic strategies	
☑ All three strategies combined	
Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied %	
5	
\square We do not apply incorporation strategies	
Total actively managed listed equities	

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

Responsible investment at Varma is guided not only by our strategy, CSR programme and Principles for Responsible Investment, but also by Varma's climate policy, ownership policy, annual investment plan, annual responsible investment targets that are defined for each asset class

We expect our listed investee companies to comply with international norms and conventions for corporate responsibility. We screen our portfolios biannually based on norms to ensure that our investees fulfill the minimum level of corporate conduct. In addition to biannually reviews we have set weekly process to assess the possible norm breaches. We engage with companies with severe and long term norm breaches and exclude the companies in cases where our engagement is not successful within a preset timeframe. As of 2018, we get the informaton directly to the Bloomberg Terminal for portfolio managers to use.



LEI 01.2

For ethical reasons, Varma has excluded the following from its direct investments tobacco companies and companies manufacturing controversial weapons, such as nuclear weapons, anti-personnel mines, cluster bombs, and chemical and biological weapons We require our investees to operate responsibly, and especially to take climate change into account in their operations. In the name of mitigating climate change, we have excluded the companies that rely on coal- or lignite-based operations for more than 30% of their net sales.

In addition this more traditional exclusion type of approach, Varma has set climate policy for its investments. Varma recognises the importance of climate change to its investment activities and the long-term development of the economy. We support actions to mitigate climate change and adapt to the upcoming changes.

We determine companies' preparedness for climate change during meetings with the companies and by consulting various sources of information. The portfolio is built relative to the sector or corporate structure such that the carbon footprint is reduced. Climate policy drives the sector allocation and stock picking processes so that investees climate change risk and opportunities are more profoundly assessed.

The companies which fulfill the criteria above are analysed for ESG issues on a company or sector level. 2018 we further integrated more in depth several financially material ESG criteria into our investment process to improve the risk-return rate of our investments. We improved the user interface for the portfolio managers so that there is ESG data within daily portfolio data. Then the analysis is done by portfolio managers with also help of external ESG research and applies to all active equity investments.

In addition, Varma established in 2016 a thematic sustainable equity portfolio. The sustainable equity portfolio concentrates its investments in sectors and companies which are well placed to benefit from sustainability issues and trends. This includes companies which benefit from mitigating climate change, are not too exposed to the risks of that or have themselves set carbon reduction targets. All of the investments are in developed markets. The size of the portfolio was appr. 400m euros in the year end 2018.

In 2018, we begun to track and disclosure our exposure to industries that are exposed to an increased climate related risk.

(A	(A) Implementation: Screening						
LEI 04	Mandat	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1		
					<u> </u>		
	LEI 04.1 Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.						
	Type of sc	reening					
	☑ Negative	exclusionary screening					
	Screened by						
	☑ Product						
	☑ Activity						
	☑ Sector						
	☐ Country/geographic region						
	☑ Environmental and social practices and performance						
	☐ Corporate governance						
		Description					

PRII Principles for Responsible Investment

For ethical reasons, Varma has excluded the following from its direct investments:

Our exclusions apply to all our direct investments and to some extend also to undirect invesments when

companies manufacturing controversial weapons, such as nuclear weapons, anti-personnel mines,

applicable (for exaple ESG ETF's).

tobacco companies

cluster bombs, and chemical and biological weapons.

We require our investees to operate responsibly, and especially to take climate change into account in their operations. In the name of mitigating climate change, we have excluded the following:

• companies that rely on coal- or lignite-based operations for more than 30% of their net sales

	//		
1 1	Positive/bes	t_in_clace	SCRAANING
_	1 0311176/063	t-111-01033	30100111110

✓ Norms-based screening

Screened by

☑ UN Global Compact Principles

☐ The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights

☑ International Labour Organization Conventions

☑ United Nations Convention Against Corruption

☑ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises

☐ Other, specify

Description

We screen our direct equity and corporate bond investments as well as external equity funds by a detailed list of norms and conventions. The list includes in addition to the ones mentioned above for example several environmental conventions.

Varma requires that its investees comply with international standards and agreements, the most important of which are:

- The UN's Global Compact initiative
- The OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises
- The ILO labour conventions Compliance

with these standards is monitored by screening our portfolios on a regular basis. If a company breaches any of the above-mentioned standards, our priority is to engage with the company to change their operating methods. If our efforts to engage with the company produce no are unsuccessful in the long run, our option is to exclude the company from our portfolio.

LEI 04.2

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

In our norm based screening the screening criteria are based on a list of relevant norms and conventions based on conventions and guidelines by OECD and the UN, among others. We have discussed the relevant criteria internally and decided to use a detailed list provided by our external service provider. In 2018 our external service provider was Swedish GES who provides us both screening and engagement services.

The criteria are reviewed when needed.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	la contraction of the contractio			



LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.				
	ensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.				
	es are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them inaccuracies				
☐ External by ESG/RIs	\Box External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar				
	ty ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.				
☐ Trading p	platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list				
	ttee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research ne or all screening decisions				
☑ A periodice	c review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out				
☐ Review a	nd evaluation of external research providers				
\square Other, sp	ecify				
□ None of t	he above				
LEI 05.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.				
○ <10%					
○ 10-50%					
○ 51-90%					
⊚ >90%					
LEI 05.3	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.				
Quarterly	or more frequently				
O Bi-annua	lly				
O Annually					
O Loop from	Less frequently than annually				

LEI 05.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Bi-annually
- $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{Annually}$
- O Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5

Additional information. [Optional]

Starting 2018 we have in addition to biannual screening and reviewing process also in place for the portfolio managers screening tools in their portfolio management system. The ESG ratings data is available at all times from the portal. The datafeed to Bloomberg, and ESG-tool is updated quarterly. Norms based datafeed is automated and updates automatically.

The blacklist of companies is reviewed monthly, but the list is automatically provided to portfolio managers each day.

One major achievement in 2018 was the development of an internal ESG-analysis tool for use by portfolio managers. The tool assesses the materiality of different ESG-themes to companies and industries, which deepens the knowledge of our portfolio managers regarding ESG-materiality, and helps them to analyze ESG-



related risks and opportunities more efficiently.

(B) Implementa	ation: Thematic			
LEI 07	Mandato	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 07.1	Indicate the type of sustainabili	ty thematic funds o	or mandates your organisation ma	nages.
	☑ Environm	entally themed funds			
	□ Socially themed funds				
	☐ Combinat	tion of themes			
	LEI 07.2	Describe your organisation's pr	rocesses relating to	sustainability themed funds. [Op	tional]

In 2016, we established a new portfolio under the theme of the climate change. The portfolio consists of companies whose operations benefit from climate change mitigation in different fields, such as technology and renewable energy production, or aren't exposed to climate change risks. Portfolio can also invest in companies which have set meaningful targets to cut their own carbon footprint to by 2030.

The investment universe of the portfolio is developed markets, excluding oil and gas companies. The investment strategy of the portfolio is to use sustainability to 1) minimise risks regarding portfolio companies, 2) analyze costs benefits sustainable business model gives and 3) find possible business opportunities from which companies could benefit from.

Varma also has green bond portfolio. The debt capital raised through the issuance of a green bond is earmarked for environmentally friendly investments. By the end of 2018, our green bond portfolio was valued at approximately EUR 300 million.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors						
LEI 08	08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1					
	LEI 08.1	Indicate the ESG factors you s the proportion of actively mana				



ESG issues	Proportion	impacted by analysis
Environmental		
		Environmental
	O <10%	
	○ 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	⊚ >90%	
Social		
		Social
	O <10%	
	O 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	>90%	
Corporate		
Governance		Corporate Governance
	○ <10%	
	O 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	>90%	

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional

Varma published its climate policy for investments in 2016. SInce then we made also a climate change impact assessments and a systematic review of climate change issues within sectors. We reported the carbon footprint for listed equities based on year end 2015 and followed up on the calculations during 2016, 2017 and 2018. The carbon footprint of Varma's investments has fallen substantially over the past three years.

As for other ESG issues, we have systematic process for portfolio managers to follow in the investment process. We did a systematic ESG review to identify relevant issues. Those issues between different sectors from raw material tracebility and safety to for example environmental aspects of a logistic company.

For most of sectors under active coverage we did a systematic ESG review to identify relevant issues.

LEI (Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1



LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on a robust analysis.
☑ Compreh	nensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
	es are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them inaccuracies
☑ Third-par	ty ESG ratings are updated regularly.
☐ A periodi	c review of the internal research is carried out
	d, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or exestments team
☑ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against benchmark
□ Analysis	of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
Other, sp	pecify
•	olio managers assess relevant ESG issues on sector, company or portfolio basis. This is followed erent ways.
☐ None of t	the above
LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
LEI 09.2 ○ <10%	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
O <10%	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
○ <10% ○ 10-50%	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
○ <10% ○ 10-50% ○ 51-90%	comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
○ <10% ○ 10-50% ○ 51-90%	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy. Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.
○ <10% ○ 10-50% ○ 51-90% ● >90%	comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy. Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are
○ <10% ○ 10-50% ○ 51-90% ● >90%	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.
	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated. or more frequently
<10% <10-50% <10-50% <51-90% <>>90% LEI 09.3 Quarterly Bi-Annually	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated. or more frequently
<10% <10-50% <10-50% <51-90% <>>90% LEI 09.3 Quarterly Bi-Annually	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated. or or more frequently

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions

 $\hfill\square$ Other, specify

☐ None of the above

Outputs and outcomes

LEI 12 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 1



LEI 12.1

Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.

Describe any reduction in your starting investment universe or other effects.

For ethical reasons, Varma has excluded the following from its direct investments:

- tobacco companies
- companies manufacturing controversial weapons, such as nuclear weapons, anti-personnel mines, cluster bombs, and chemical and biological weapons

We require our investees to operate responsibly, and especially to take climate change into account in their operations. In the name of mitigating climate change, we have excluded the following:

• companies that rely on coal- or lignite-based operations for more than 30% of their net sales

The exclusions do not have a significant effect on our investment universe.

☑ Thematic

Describe any alteration to your investment universe or other effects.

Thematic strategy has changed the focus more into low carbon industries.

Varma has published a climate policy for investments. The climate policy's long-term goal is to bring Varma's investment portfolio in line with the Paris Agreement. The short-term goal is to lower the carbon footprint of its investments in various asset classes. Varma analyses the financial risks and opportunities brought by climate change. We additionally report climate related risks in accordance with the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD), and we encourage our investees to do the same.

2018 we analyzed industries that are significantly exposed to climate risks, such as the oil & gas industry, electricity & heat production, and the automotive, mining, concrete and transport industries

We are analysing companies' preparedness for climate change during meetings with the companies and by consulting various sources of information. The portfolio is built relative to the sector or corporate structure such that the carbon footprint is reduced.

Due to climate policy we have low exposure to oil and gas companies and heavy metting utilitycompanies and barely no mining companies.

☑ Integration of ESG factors

Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration:

- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Reduce or prioritise the investment universe
- ☑ Overweight/underweight at sector level
- ☑ Overweight/underweight at stock level
- ☑ Buy/sell decisions
- ☑ Engagement / Voting
- ☑ Other, specify

Due to climate policy and analysis of the riskier sectors based on TCFD we have made allocation changes and targets for the portfolio.

 \square None of the above



Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



(Overvi	iew				
LEA 01		Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
Ne	w sele	ction opti	ons have been added to this i	ndicator. Pleas	se review your prefilled respo	nses carefully.
	EA 01		licate whether your organisation			
	Yes	.1	ilicate whether your organisation	nas an active c	ownership policy.	
(• res					
	LE	A 01.2	Attach or provide a URL to you	ur active owners	ship policy.	
	0	Attachme	nt provided:			
	•	URL prov	ided:			
			URL			
			w.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-si	vut/yhtiotietoa/t	ulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varmas-	-ownership-
		policy.pdf				
	LE	A 01.3	Indicate what your active enga	agement policy	covers:	
			General approach to active of	ownership		
		☑ Conflic	ts of interest			
	☐ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements					
		✓ Assets.	funds covered by active owners	hip policy		
	☑ Expectations and objectives					
		☑ Engage	ement approach			
			Engagement			
		☑ E	SG issues			
		☑ Pi	ioritisation of engagement			
	☑ Method of engagement					
	☑ Transparency of engagement activities					
	☐ Due diligence and monitoring process					



☐ Insider information☐ Escalation strategies

☐ Other specify;☑ (Proxy) voting approach

 \square Service Provider specific criteria

_	Voting		
	☑ ESG issues		
	☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities		
	☑ Methods of voting		
	☑ Transparency of voting activities		
	☑ Regional voting practice approaches		
	☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions		
	☑ Company dialogue pre/post-vote		
	☐ Decision-making processes		
	☐ Securities lending processes		
	☑ Other specify;		
□ Other			
□N	one of the above		
\bigcirc No			
LEA 01.4	Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?		
○ Yes			
No			
Engageme	ent entered		

LEA 02 Mandatory **Public Core Assessed** PRI 1,2,3 **LEA 02.1**

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	$\hfill \square$ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☑ Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☑ Discuss the rationale for engagement
- ☑ Discuss the objectives of the engagement
- ☐ Select the companies to be engaged with
- ☐ Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☑ Discuss next steps for engagement activity
- ☑ Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider
- ☐ Other; specify
- \square We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

O No

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Varma's process with engagement depends on the type of engagement and on the size of our holdings. We engage directly with companies where we are a significant shareholder.

The goal of our engagement is typically to improve ESG disclosure and discuss relevant ESG issues. Usually we do this in meetings with the companies. Varma also participates in several shareholder nomination committees for listed companies where governance issues are very much on agenda.

Active ownership and engagement is one of the development areas in responsible investment at Varma. 2018 we joined to many collaborative engagements the focus being climate change and reporting on that and social issues.



We use external service providers to engage on our behalf with companies which have breached international norms and conventions.

We also discuss significant breaches with the companies. The goal of the discussions is to develop the company's operating methods and to ensure that such breaches are not repeated. We may divest our holdings in a company if we do not achieve the end result we had hoped for in the discussions over a longer period of time.

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements		
Individual / Internal			
engagements	Internal / Individual engagements		
	☑ Geography / market of the companies		
	✓ Materiality of the ESG factors		
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)		
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred		
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure		
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries		
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)		
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision		
	☐ Client request		
	☐ Breaches of international norms		
	☐ Other, specify		
	\square We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements.		
Collaborative	+		
engagements	Collaborative engagements		
	☐ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues from other investors		
	☑ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues		
	☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration		
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration		
	☐ Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration		
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration		
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred		
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure		
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision		
	☑ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement		
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries		
	\square Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)		
	☐ Other, specify		
	\square We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagements.		
Service Provider			
engagements	Service Provider engagements		
	☐ Geography / market of the companies		
	✓ Materiality of ESG factors		
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings)		
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred		
	□ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred □ Responses to divestment pressure		
	- Nosponses to divestinent pressure		



☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
☐ Follow-up from voting decision
☐ Client request
☑ Breaches of international norms
☐ Other, specify
☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers.

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration
Service provider engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers.

LEA 04.2 Additional information. [Optional

Internal engagments all have specific target based on our ownership policy and climate policy. Targets are 1) Board of directors and board remunerations, 2) Capital structure, dividends and repurchase of own shares 3) Share issue authorisations, 4) Management's salaries and remuneration schemes, 5) Responsibility including a) compliance with international norms and agreement and b) Climate change.

Reasons to use collaborative engagements are 1) to save money and time 2) to influence with others 3) to engage with companies where Varma is not invested in. This includes for example PRI oil and gas engagement since Varma has limited holdings in oil and gas sectors. Targets are based on majority of the cases in the climate policy of Varma.

Service provider engagements are for the norm breaches and based on normbased screening. Varma's listed equity and corporate bond holdings are screened twice a year for breaches of international corporate responsibility norms and conventions. In cases where our ownership stake in a company is small, we usually use an external service provider to engage with companies on basis of severe and long term breaches of international norms.



The rationale and objective of the engagement cases are quite clear. The goal is for the companies to change their behaviour and comply with the relevant norms. We choose the companies to be engaged with and follow up with the cases when needed and at least twice a year.

We discuss the engagement cases and decide on next steps twice a year in an internal meeting with the listed securities team. The portfolio managers are responsible for presenting the cases and suggesting next steps for the companies withing their investment universe. 2018 Varma's Director for Listed Securities made the final decisions.

LEA 05	Mand	datory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 0	5.1	Indicate if	you monitor and/or reviev	v engagement outo	comes.	
Individual / Internal engagements		 Yes, in all cases Yes, in majority of case Yes, in a minority of complete We do not monitor, o staff. 	cases	ent outcomes carried out by our ir	nternal	
Collaborative	engag	ements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of o Yes, in a minority of o We do not monitor, o engagement activities. 	cases	ent outcomes via collaborative	
Service provi engagements			 Yes, in all cases Yes, in majority of cases Yes, in minority of cases We do not monitor, oproviders. 	ses	ent outcomes carried out by our s	ervice

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and review the progress of engagement activities.

PRII Principles for Responsible Investment

LEA 05.2

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis ☐ Other; specify 		
Collaborative engagements	 □ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met □ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis □ Other; specify 		
Service provider engagements	 ☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives ☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs ☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met ☐ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis ☐ Other; specify 		

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- ☑ Collaborating with other investors
- ☐ Issuing a public statement
- $\hfill\Box$ Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- $\hfill\square$ Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- $\hfill\square$ Voting against the board of directors or the the annual financial report
- $\hfill\square$ Submitting nominations for election to the board
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- □ Divestment
- ☐ Other, specify
- \bigcirc No



LEA 06.3

Additional information. [Optional]

If our efforts to engage with the company produce no are unsuccessful in the long run, our option is to exclude the company from our portfolio.

In case the ETF in the portfolios still include these kind of companies we will push the collaborative engagement more and also contact the index providers.

LEA 07 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo

LEA 07.2

Indicate the practices used to ensure information and insights collected through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Involving investment decision-makers when developing engagement programme
- ☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- ☑ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- ☑ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- ☐ Other; specify
- □ None

LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/heneficiaries



Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Service provider engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 10	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2



LEA 10.1	Indicate which of the following your engagement involved.			
✓ Letters ar	☑ Letters and emails to companies			
○ In a	minority of cases			
● In a	majority of cases			
○ In all	cases			
☑ Meetings	and/or calls with board/senior management			
○ In a	minority of cases			
● In a	majority of cases			
○ In all	cases			
✓ Meetings	and/or calls with the CSR, IR or other management			
○ In a	minority of cases			
● In a	majority of cases			
○ In all	cases			
☑ Visits to o	perations			
● In a	minority of cases			
○ In a	majority of cases			
○ In all	cases			
☐ Visits to the	ne supplier(s) from the 'company's supply chain			
	ion in roadshows			
● In a	minority of cases			
○ In a	majority of cases			
○ In all	cases			
☐ Other				

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

• We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.



E	Based on
our own vot	ring policy
O our clients'	requests or policies
O other, expla	iin
O We hire service pour voting decisions	provider(s) who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide s.
	provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios and make voting decisions.
○ We hire service	provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf.
Drovido	an evention of how you approve your votice nation is adhered to giving details of your

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Varma's ownership principles are implemented inhouse. The voting decisions are made within the investment department which ensures that the principles are correctly implemented. Exceptions are possible on the basis of the company's development phase or ownership structure.

LEA '	15	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 1	5.1	Indicate the proportion of votes whe raised concerns with companies ahe		ce providers acting on your behal	f have
	O 100	%				
	O 99-7	75%				
	○ 74-5	50%				
	O 49-2	25%				
		1%				
	○ Neit	her we	e nor our service provider(s) raise con	cerns with compan	ies ahead of voting	
	LEA 1	5.2	Indicate the reasons for raising your	r concerns with the	se companies ahead of voting.	
		e(s) fo	r selected markets			
		e(s) fo	r selected sectors			
		e(s) re	lating to certain ESG issues			
		e(s) or	n companies exposed to controversy c	on specific ESG iss	ues	
	✓ Vote	e(s) fo	r significant shareholdings			
	□ On	reques	st by clients			
	☐ Oth	er				
LEA '	16	Man	idatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2



LEA 16.1

Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which, you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, have communicated to companies the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- **100%**
- O 99-75%
- O 74-50%
- O 49-25%
- O 24-1%
- O We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- O Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.3

In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for the abstention or the vote against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

○ Yes

No

LEA 17 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 17.1

For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

• We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

10

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- O of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- \bigcirc of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- O We do not track or collect this information



LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings		
☐ Shares w	ere blocked		
☐ Notice, ba	□ Notice, ballots or materials not received in time		
☐ Missed de	☐ Missed deadline		
☐ Geograph	☐ Geographical restrictions (non-home market)		
	☑ Cost		
☐ Conflicts	☐ Conflicts of interest		
☑ Holdings	☑ Holdings deemed too small		
☐ Administroplacement)	\Box Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)		
☐ Client req	☐ Client request		
☐ Other	□ Other		
LEA 17.3	Additional information. [Optional]		

LEA 18 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

Beginning of 2018 we have implemented systematic process to track and collect this information.

LEA 18.1

Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

● Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	99.3
Against (opposing) management recommendations	0.7
Abstentions	0

100%

 \bigcirc No, we do not track this information



LEA	19	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 19	9.1	Indicate whether your organisation	has a formal escala	ation strategy following unsuccess	sful voting.
	○ Yes ● No					
LEA	20	Volu	untary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 20	0.1	Indicate if your organisation directly shareholder resolutions during the	or through a service reporting year.	ce provider filed or co-filed any E	SG
	○ Yes					
	No					
	LEA 20	0.6	Describe whether your organisation	n reviews ESG shar	reholder resolutions filed by other	investors.

Varma has not filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during 2018.



Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

		FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--	--	-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	5	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	95	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	95	

Thematic + integration strategies
0
Screening + thematic strategies
0
All three strategies combined
5
No incorporation strategies applied
0
100%

Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
		monate dione
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	95	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	5	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	

FI 01.2

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

Our aim is to integrate financially relevant ESG criteria into our investment process to improve the risk-return ratio of our active investments. Our goal with norm based screening is to ensure that our investee companies fulfil our minimum criteria for corporate conduct. On a company level, Varma is excluding all tobacco and controversial weapon manufacturer-companies from the direct investment universe, alongside with utility companies that derive over 1/3 of their revenue from coal.

In SSA, we have made investments in Green bonds, in which the debt capital raised through the issuance is earmarked for environmentally friendly investments. In rest of our SSA investments, we are using raw ESG data along side sell-side research analysis, with a focus on the Social and Governance data, when making the investment decision. The bottom quartile of SDG-index is excluded from the investment universe.

In Corporate bonds, we use a 3rd party service that conducts norms- based screening. Corporations that have a confirmed violation of defined treaties such as Global compact, are excluded from our investment universe. We are also using 3rd party ESG-scores, which are available for all portfolio managers. We have also made investments in Green bonds.



FI 02.1

Indicate which ESG factors you systematically research as part of your analysis on issuers.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Environmental data				
	\checkmark	V	\checkmark	
Social data				
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Governance data				

FI 02.2

Indicate what format your ESG information comes in and where you typically source it

☑ Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information

- ☑ ESG research provider
- ☑ In-house specialised ESG analyst or team
- ☐ In-house FI analyst, PM or risk team
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☑ ESG factor specific analysis

Indicate who provides this information

- ☑ ESG research provider
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ In-house specialised ESG analyst or team
- $\hfill\square$ In-house FI analyst, PM or risk team
- □ Other, specify
- $\ \ \square$ Issuer-level ESG analysis

Indicate who provides this information



	☑ ESG research provider				
	☑ Sell-side				
	☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team				
	☑ In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team				
	☐ Other, specify				
In	dicate who provides this information				
	☑ ESG research provider				
	☑ Sell-side				
	☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team				
	☐ In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team				
	☐ Other, specify				
In	dicate who provides this information				
	☐ ESG research provider				
	☑ Sell-side				
	☐ In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team				
	☑ In-house – FI analyst, PM or risk team				
	☐ Other, specify				

Provide a brief description of the ESG information used, highlighting any differences in sources of information across your ESG incorporation strategies.

All portfolio managers have access to 3rd party ESG metrics through their Bloomberg Terminal. The PM's have also access to a data portal that provides company or sector specific analysis of ESG factors. The raw company data is available from either web based portals or through Bloomberg Terminal.

Specialized ESG analyst provides carbon emission metrics for listed equity and listed fixed income on a portfolio level. Data based on norms based screening is delivered straight to the Bloomberg Terminal. It is also available online.

In SSA, PMs are conducting country level analysis by utilizing data provided by sell -side research or country level raw data.

FI 03 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1



FI 02.3

FI 03.1	Indicate now you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
☑ Compreh and/or servi	ensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products ces
✓ Issuers a correct inaccorrect inaccorrect	re given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and curacies
✓ Issuer infe	ormation and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
□ Internal a	udits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
	lity/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks nities for each sector/country.
\square Other, sp	ecify
☐ None of t	he above
	,
FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
☑ ESG info	rmation is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
☐ ESG info	rmation is displayed on front office research platforms
☐ ESG info	rmation is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or ments
☐ Investme committee n	nt staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment neetings
☐ Records (capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
☐ Other, sp	ecify
☐ None of t	he above
FI 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]

Portfolio managers, who are investing in Utilities, Oil & Gas, Automobiles, Construction Materials, Metals & Mining, Transportation, Adult Entertainment, Alcohol, Gambling, Conventional Weapons or Cannabis, are required to provide the rationale behind the investment. They must produce a document that outlines the pros and cons, and a brief integrated ESG/financial analysis that explaines the investment decision. All investment decisions and the rationales are stored in a centralized platform, where all investment personel can read them.

(A) Implementation: Screening					
FI 04	Mandatory		Public	Gateway	PRI 1
	FI 04.1 Indicate the type of screening yo		u conduct.		

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Negative/exclusionary screening				
		V	\checkmark	
Positive/best-in-class screening				
		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Norms-based screening				

FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

In our norm based screening the screening criteria are based on a list of relevant norms and conventions based on conventions and guidelines by OECD and the UN, among others. We have discussed the relevant criteria internally and decided to use a detailed list provided by our external service provider. Our external service provider is Swedish GES who provides us with both screening and engagement services

On a company wide level, we are excluding all tobacco and controversial weapons manufacturer-companies from our investment universe. We are also utilizing ESG-scores, which are in essence best-in-class scores for companies. ESG is fully integrated to the investment decision making process. Company level data for both ESG, norms based, and materiality are all available for portfolio managers to use from their desk.

In SSA, Varma uses the SDG-Index to screen investments. The worst quartile is excluded from the investment universe.

FI 06		Manda	atory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 06	5.1	Indicate which systems your orga breached in fixed income investm		sure that fund screening criteria a	re not

Type of screening	Checks				
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria				
Negative/exclusionary screening?	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria				
	$\hfill \square$ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the above				
	☐ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria				
Positive/best-in-class screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria				
	$\hfill \square$ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the above				
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria				
Norms-based screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria				
	☐ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the above				

(B) Implementation: Thematic FI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1



FI 08.1

Indicate whether you encourage transparency and disclosure relating to the issuance of themed bonds as per the Green Bonds Principles, Social Bond Principles, or Sustainability Bond Guidelines..

- ☑ We require that themed bond proceeds are only allocated to environmentally or socially beneficial projects
- ☑ We require the issuer (or 3rd party assurer) to demonstrate a process which determines the eligibility of projects to which themed bond proceeds are allocated
- ☑ We require issuers to demonstrate a systematic and transparent process of disbursing themed bond proceeds to eligible projects until all funds are allocated
- ☑ We require issuers to report at least once per year on the projects to which proceeds have been allocated including a description of those projects
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

FI 08.2

Describe the actions you take when issuers do not disburse bond proceeds as described in the offering documents.

We have not encountered situations described above.

FI 09		Manda	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 09.1 Indicate how you assess the environmental or social impact of your thematic investmental or your thematic investment					
	☑ We require issuers to report at least once per year on specific environmental or social impacts resulting from our themed investments					
	\Box We ensure independent audits are conducted on the environmental or social impact of our investments					
	\square We have a proprietary system to measure environmental and social impact					
	 □ We measure the impact of our themed bond investments on specific ESG factors such as carbon emission or human rights 					
		Other, sp	ecify			
		lone of tl	he above			

(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

FI 10.1

Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

ESG integration involves analysing material E, S, and G risks and opportunities facing the entity issuing bonds over the medium and long term. This addittional information is integrated into the exsisting more traditional financial credit analysis and impacts the assessment of future cashflows and defaults risk. We feel that it is important to focus on ESG factors that are ligely to be material to the issure depending on the sector. In the utility sector we put more focus on environmental aspects, for governments and banks governance can be even more important.

Mitigating climate change is a core part of Varma's strategy, and thus intergrating information about clilmate related financial risks and opportunities are an important source of information for Varma.



FI 10.2

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.

SSA

In SSA invesmtents, the emphasis of ESG is in the social and governance side. Our SSA PMs are conducting country specific analysis where the social and governace data is integrated to the investment decision. Country visits also play a crucial part in the investment decision. Varma uses the SDG index to negatively screen the investment universe, by excluding the bottom quartile.

We also have access to country specific reports by rating agencies and public data from World bank and IMF.

Corporate (financial)

In financials corporates, the focus is mainly on corporate governance issues. For example how potential litigation costs can affect the financial position and the reputation of the institution analyzed.

For all corporate bonds, we are using 3rd party ESG-scores which measures the ESG performance of the company benchmarked against its peers. The portfolio managers are using the company specific reports, alongside with the score to evaluate the company when making investment or deinvestment decisions.

Corporate (non-financial)

In non-financial corporates the analysis depends on the sector. For example, in the mining and utilities sectors, environmental issues are at focus whereas in the healthcare sector social issues are more important.

For all corporate bonds, we are using 3rd party ESG-scores which measures the ESG performance of the company benchmarked against its peers. The portfolio managers are using the company specific reports, alongside with the score to evaluate the company when making investment or deinvestment decisions.

In non-financial corporate bonds, the mitigation and adaptation of climate change plays a bigger role. Varma has set up processes and targets, that ensure that climate change is taken into account in fixed income investments. These include targets for carbon footprint, enhanced ESG-analysis in carbon intensive industries, and exclusions in certain industries.

FI 11 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 11.1

Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	V	V	V	
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	V			
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.				
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	V	V	V	
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	V	V	V	
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.				
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.				
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	V	V		
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.				
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.		₫	Image: control of the	
Other, specify				

FI 12		Manda	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1	
	FI 12	2.1	Indicate the extent to which ESG	issues are reviewe	ed in your integration process.		



	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	Environmental	Social	Governance
	○ Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
(a	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	○ Not at all

FI 12.2

Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

In SSA investments, the emphasis of ESG is in the social and governance side. Our SSA PMs are conducting country specific analysis where the social and governace data is integrated to the investment decision. Country visits also play a crucial part in the investment decision.

Varma also uses the information provided by the SDG index in the investment decision.

Corporate (financial)

In 2017, we implemented the use of 3rd party ESG ratings to the investment decisions. We conducted a preliminary study of our complete Corporate bond portfolio, where the individual companies were evaluated by their ESG performance in relation with the new metrics.

By the end of 2017, the usage of the ESG metrics was a part of day to day operations in corporate bond investments. As of 2018, portfolio managers have integrated the ESG-information to their own models. The norms based data is also available automatically to the Bloomberg Terminal.

All of the norms based incidents are reviewed bi-annually together with the equity portfolio managers, and ESG-staff. An ESG-tool was developed in-house in 2018. The tool provides ESG-information for the portfolio manager, regarding the company, and the industry with an emphasis on the materiality of the ESG information. The tool also a set of industry specific ESG-questions for the portfolio managers to use in 1 on 1 meetings.



Corporate (non-financial)

Varma uses In 2017, we implemented the use of 3rd party ESG ratings to the investment decisions. We conducted a preliminary study of our complete Corporate bond portfolio, where the individual companies were evaluated by their ESG performance in relation with the new metrics.

By the end of 2017, the usage of the ESG metrics was a part of day to day operations in corporate bond investments. As of 2018, portfolio managers have integrated the ESG-information to their own models. The norms based data is also available automatically to the Bloomberg Terminal.

All of the norms based incidents are reviewed bi-annually together with the equity portfolio managers, and ESG-staff. An ESG-tool was developed in-house in 2018. The tool provides ESG-information for the portfolio manager, regarding the company, and the industry with an emphasis on the materiality of the ESG information. The tool also a set of industry specific ESG-questions for the portfolio managers to use in 1 on 1 meetings.

Fixed income - Engagement

FI 15 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

FI 15.1

Indicate how you typically engage with issuers as a fixed income investor, or as both a fixed income and listed equity investor. (Please do not include engagements where you are both a bondholder and shareholder but engage as a listed equity investor only.)

Select all that apply

Type of engagement	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
In dividual/latera al etaff an accusa cuta			
Individual/Internal staff engagements			
		$\overline{\checkmark}$	
Collaborative engagements			
	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Service provider engagements			

FI 15.2

Indicate how your organisation prioritises engagements with issuers.

Select all that apply



	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)
Size of holdings		
Credit quality of the issuer		
Duration of holdings		
Quality of transparency on ESG		Ø
Specific markets and/or sectors	\checkmark	V
Specific ESG themes	\checkmark	
Issuers in the lowest ranks of ESG benchmarks		
Issuers in the highest ranks of ESG benchmarks		
Specific issues considered priorities for the investor based on input from clients and beneficiaries		
Other		

Indicate when your organisation conducts engagements with issuers.

Select all that apply

FI 15.3



	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)	
We engage pre-investment.			
We engage post-investment.	V	V	
We engage proactively in anticipation of specific ESG risks and/or opportunities.			
We engage in reaction to ESG issues that have already affected the issuer.	7	☑	
We engage prior to ESG-related divestments.			
Other, describe			

FI 15.4

Indicate what your organisation conducts engagements with issuers on.

Select all that apply

	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting a specific bond issuer or its issuer.		
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting the entire industry or region that the issuer belongs to.	V	
We engage on specific ESG themes across issuers and industries (e.g., human rights).		☑
Other, describe		

FI 15.5

Indicate how your organisation ensures that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.

Select all that apply



		Corporate (financial)	Corporate (i	non-	
Ensuring regular	cross-team meetings and presentation	\checkmark	V		
Sharing engager and investment to	nent data across platforms that is acceseans.	ssible to ESG	☑	I	
Encouraging ESO and roadshows.	G and investment teams to join engage	ment meetings			
Delegating some analysts.	engagement dialogue to portfolio man	agers/credit	Ø	V	
	o managers when defining an engagem developing engagement decisions.	nent			
	chanisms to rebalance portfolio holdings on and outcomes of engagements.	s based on			
Considering active	ve ownership as a mechanism to asses ts.	s potential			
Other, describe					
	e that information and insights collected feed into the investment decision-mak				
	andatory to Report Voluntary to sclose	Public	Additional Ass	sessed	PRI 1,2
FI 16.1	Indicate if your publicly available po separately from engagements in re			d income engaç	gement
YesNo					
Outputs	and outcomes				
	andatory to Report Voluntary to sclose	Public	Additional Ass	sessed	General
FI 17.1	Indicate whether your organisation income has affected investment ou			ESG analysis ir	n fixed

Select all that apply



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)
We measure whether incorporating ESG impacts portfolio risk.			
We measure whether incorporating ESG impacts portfolio returns.			
We measure the ESG performance/profile of portfolios (relative to the benchmark).		V	
None of the above			

Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Direct – Private Equity

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

PE 01 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 1-6

PE 01.1

Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to responsible investment in private equity.

Varma Private Equity Team manages PE and infrastructure funds and also co-investments. Evaluation and assessment of the fund managers' RI principles has been incorporated into our investment and portfolio management processes. Varma requires its PE managers to have RI principles either as a separate policy or part of other policies. Varma actively encourages our current PE managers to sign up to PRI. We also require managers to report on ESG issues, preferably as a part of regular fund reporting. As a large investor, Varma has often the opportunity to be represented in the Advisory Board or Investor Committees of the funds. We use this channel actively to promote ESG issues and opportunities.

Varma's direct private equity is executed through co-investments with funds where Varma is an existing investor. Thus, the manager's/ majority owner's ESG policies and processes have already been validated during fund selection and monitoring. During co-investment due diligence Varma requires the manager to report on ESG findings and incorporates this into invetment decision making. In addition to minimum requirements, direct co-investments allow for an additional layer of selection to be applied; e.g. climate policy / strategy directing portfolio construction.

PE 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

PE 02.1

Indicate whether your organisation's investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy / follow responsible investment guidelines.

Our investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy

PE 02.2

Describe how your organisation outlines expectations on staff and portfolio companies' approach towards ESG issues in investment activities.

In short we evaluate does the manager's responsible investment policy reflect our values and does the manager integrate its RI policy with the portfolio companies.

- Our investment activities are not guided by a responsible investment policy
- O We do not have a responsible investment policy

Pre-investment (selection)

PE 05 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 1

PE 05.1

During due-diligence indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting private equity investments.

Yes



PE 05.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in private equity investment selection.

Managers that have passed Varma's fund selection criteria fulfill minimum requirements. Managers report on ESG findings and evaluation during co-investment due diligence and Varma makes its own assessment when selecting co-investments. In special cases, where the ESG-impact is unclear, ESG-personnel are consulted and the decision is documented.

 \bigcirc No

PE 06		Man	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
				·		
	PE 06.1		Indicate what type of ESG informati equity investment selection process		on typically considers during you	private
	☐ Rav	v data	from target company			
	☐ Ber	chma	rks against other companies			
	□ Sec	tor lev	vel data/benchmarks			
	□ Cou	ıntry le	evel data/benchmarks			
	□ Rep	orting	standards, industry codes and certific	ations		
	☐ Inte	rnatio	nal initiatives, declarations or standard	ls		
	□ Eng	gagem	ents with stakeholders (e.g. customers	s and suppliers)		
	☑ Advice from external resources					
	☑ Other, specify					
	Manager's ESG evaluation					
	☐ We do not track this information					
	PE 06.	2	Describe how this information is rep Committee or similar.	orted to, considere	ed and documented by the Investi	ment

Varma receives manager's ESG evaluation and often 3rd party ESG reporting. These considerations are summarized and a recommendation is included in Varma's IC proposals.

	Post-investment (monitoring)							
PE 0	PE 09 Mandatory		ndatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2		
	PE 09.1		Indicate whether your organisation companies.	incorporates ESG i	issues in investment monitoring o	of portfolio		



Yes

PE 09.2

Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 09.3

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues

- □ Environmental
- □ Social
- ☐ Governance
- ☑ We do not set and/or monitor against targets

 \bigcirc No

PE 09.4

Additional information. [Optional]

The managers are expected to set targets, monitor, and report on those.

PE 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

PE 10.1

Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).

- Yes
- No

PE 13 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

PE 13.1

Indicate whether during the reporting year your organisation disclosed information on ESG issues to potential buyers prior to exit for private equity investments.

- \bigcirc We included ESG issues in pre-exit information
- O We did not include ESG issues in pre-exit information
- N/A, we did not have any exits in the reporting year

PE 13.2

Apart from disclosure, describe how your organisation considers ESG issues at exit.

Co-investment exit is managed by the main sponsor.



Varma Mutual Pension Insurance Company

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Property

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

PR 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1-6

PR 01.1

Indicate if your organisation has a Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy.

Yes

PR 01.2

Provide a URL or attach the document

☑ URL

https://www.varma.fi/globalassets/muut-sivut/yhtiotietoa/tulostiedot-ja-sijoitukset/varman-vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-kaytannot.pdf

☐ Attach Document

 \bigcirc No

Pre-investment (selection)

PR 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed PRI 1

PR 04.1

Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting property investments.

Yes

PR 04.2

Provide a description of your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in property investment selection.

The location of the property is one of most important criteria in our property selection. The property should be located so that it is easily reached even by public transportation and close to services. Good location has a positive effect on environment if the property is reached by public transportation but also lowers the risk of high vacancy of the building in the long run.

We conduct a due diligence process (DD) before buying a property. The DD includes technical, environmental, financial/tax and legal aspects. For example, in environmental DD we inspect the risk that the site might be contaminated. In technical DD we check the condition of the building and consider the risk of for example indoor air quality problems which might have harmful effects on occupiers.

PR 04.3

Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the property investment selection process, and list up to three examples per issue.

☑ Environmental



	Environmental example 1, selectione						
	☐ Climate change adaptation						
	□ Energy efficiency						
	☐ Energy supply, Flooding, GHG emissions						
	☐ Energy supply, Flooding, GHG emissions ☐ Indoor environmental quality						
	□ Natural hazards						
	□ Resilience						
	☐ Transportation						
	□ Water efficiency						
	☐ Waste management						
	□ Water supply						
	□ Other						
	□ Other						
	□ Flooding						
	☐ GHG emissions						
	Environmental example 2, select one						
Ī	☐ Climate change adaptation						
	□ Contamination						
	☑ Energy efficiency						
	☐ Energy supply, Flooding, GHG emissions						
	☐ Indoor environmental quality						
	□ Natural hazards						
	□ Resilience						
	☐ Transportation						
	□ Water efficiency						
	☐ Waste management						
	□ Water supply						
	□ Other						
	□ Other						
	□ Flooding						
	☐ GHG emissions						



	Environmental example 3, select one
	☐ Climate change adaptation
	□ Contamination
	□ Energy efficiency
	☐ Energy supply, Flooding, GHG emissions
	☑ Indoor environmental quality
	□ Natural hazards
	□ Resilience
	☐ Transportation
	□ Water efficiency
	☐ Waste management
	□ Water supply
	□ Other
	□ Other
	☐ Flooding
	☐ GHG emissions
$\sqrt{}$	Social
	Social example 1, select one
i	☐ Building safety and materials
	☑ Health, safety and wellbeing
	□ Socio-economic
	□ Accessibility
	☐ Affordable Housing
	□ Occupier Satisfaction
	□ Other
	□ Other
	□ Other
	Social example 2, select one
	☐ Building safety and materials
	☐ Health, Safety and wellbeing
	□ Socio-economic
	☑ Accessibility
	☐ Affordable Housing
	□ Occupier Satisfaction
	□ Other
	□ Other
	□ Other



		Social example 3, select one
	☐ Building	safety and materials
	☐ Health, \$	Safety and wellbeing
	☐ Socio-ed	conomic
	☐ Accessil	bility
	☐ Affordab	ble Housing
	☑ Occupie	er Satisfaction
	☐ Other	
	☐ Other	
	☐ Other	
V	Governance	
		Governance example 1, select one
	☐ Anti-brib	pery & corruption
	☐ Board st	tructure
	☐ Conflicts	s of interest
	☐ Governa	ance structure
	☑ Regulate	ory
	☐ Shareho	older structure & rights
	☐ Supply of	chain governance
	☐ Other	
	☐ Other	
	☐ Other	
		Governance example 2, select one
	□ Anati busib	
		pery & corruption
	☐ Board st	s of interest
		ance structure
	☐ Regulate	ory older structure & rights
		chain governance
	☐ Other	Shain governance
	☐ Other	
	☐ Other	



	Governance example 3, se	lect one		
□ A	nti-bribery & corruption			
□B	Soard structure			
	Conflicts of interest			
	Sovernance structure			
	Regulatory			
□S	Shareholder structure & rights			
□S	Supply chain governance			
	Other			
	Other			
	Other			
○ No				
05 Volum	ntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,3
PR 05.1	Indicate what type of ESG informati investment selection process.	on your organisatio	on typically considers during your	property
☑ Raw data fı	om the target property asset/compa	ny		
☑ Appraisals/	audits			
☑ Benchmark	s/ratings against similar property ass	set		
☐ Country lev	el data/benchmarks			
□ Data aligne	d with established property reporting	g standards, industi	ry codes and certifications	
☐ Internationa	al initiatives, declarations or standard	ds		
	engagements with stakeholders (e.g.	tenants and local	community surveys)	
☑ Information	from external advisers			
☐ Other, spec	sify			
☐ We do not t	track this information			
PR 05.2	Provide a brief description of how th selection process.	nis ESG information	n was incorporated into your inve	stment
	environmental reports as well as rep		on of the building if needed. We berty. We check the satisfaction of	

(if possible) and the basic information of the tenant's financial background.

Public Core Assessed PRI 1 **Mandatory**



	1	Indicate if ESG issues impacte year.	ed your property inve	estment selection process during th	ne reporting	
	issue	es helped identify risks and/or op	oportunities for value	e creation		
	☐ ESG issues led to the abandonment of potential investments					
☑ ESC	ESG issues impacted the investment in terms of price offered and/or paid					
□ ESC	G issues impacted the terms in the shareholder/purchase agreements and/or lending covenants					
	issue	es were considered but did not h	ave an impact on th	e investment selection process		
□ Oth	er, spe	ecify				
□ Not	applic	cable, our organisation did not se	elect any investment	s in the reporting year		
□We	do not	ot track this potential impact				
PR 06.	2	Indicate how ESG issues impa	acted your property i	investment deal structuring process	ses during the	
	- iceu	les impacted the investment in te	rms of price offered	and/or naid		
				aria/or paid agreements and/or lending covenar	nts	
		les were considered but did not h	-		11.0	
		ics were considered but did flot if	iave an impact on th	c acai stractaring process		
□ Oth	or sne	ecify				
□ Oth	-	-	alect any investment	s in the reporting year		
□ Not	applic	cable, our organisation did not se	·			
□ Not	applic do not	cable, our organisation did not se	·		PRI 4	
□ Not	applic do not tion, a	cable, our organisation did not se of track this potential impact appointment and monitorin ndatory	eg third-party pro Public cludes ESG issues	perty managers		
□ Not □ We	applic do not tion, a	cable, our organisation did not sent track this potential impact appointment and monitorin ndatory Indicate if your organisation in	eg third-party pro Public cludes ESG issues	perty managers Core Assessed		
□ Not □ We Select PR 07. • Yes	applic do not tion, a	cable, our organisation did not se of track this potential impact appointment and monitorin Indicate if your organisation in of third-party property manage	Public cludes ESG issues ers. sation includes ESG	perty managers Core Assessed	or monitoring	
□ Not □ We Select PR 07. • Yes	applic do not tion, a Man	cable, our organisation did not sent track this potential impact appointment and monitorin ndatory Indicate if your organisation in of third-party property manage	Public cludes ESG issues ers. sation includes ESG property managers.	perty managers Core Assessed in your selection, appointment and, issues in your selection, appointment	or monitoring	
□ Not □ We Select PR 07. • Yes	applic do not tion, a Man	cable, our organisation did not sent track this potential impact appointment and monitorin appointment and monitorin Indicate if your organisation in of third-party property manage Indicate how your organisation in of third-party property manage	Public cludes ESG issues ers. sation includes ESG property managers.	perty managers Core Assessed in your selection, appointment and, issues in your selection, appointment	or monitoring	
□ Not □ We Select PR 07. • Yes	applic do not tion, a Man	cable, our organisation did not see of track this potential impact appointment and monitoring indatory Indicate if your organisation in of third-party property manage Indicate how your organisation in of third party property manage in the party	Public cludes ESG issues ers. sation includes ESG property managers. ers incorporated ES	perty managers Core Assessed in your selection, appointment and, issues in your selection, appointment	or monitoring	
□ Not □ We Select PR 07. • Yes	applic do not tion, a Man	cable, our organisation did not see of track this potential impact appointment and monitoring appointment appointment and monitoring appointment appointment and monitoring appointment appointment and monitoring appointment appointment and monitoring appointment and monitoring appointment and monitoring appointment appointment and monitoring appointment and monitoring appointment appointment appointment appointment and monitoring appointment appoint	Public Cludes ESG issues ers. Sation includes ESG property managers. ers incorporated ES G is effectively integ	perty managers Core Assessed in your selection, appointment and, issues in your selection, appointment	for monitoring ent and/or	
□ Not □ We Select PR 07.	applic do not tion, a Man 1 Select pro	cable, our organisation did not sent track this potential impact appointment and monitoring appointment appointment appointment appointment and monitoring appointment and monitoring appointment and monitoring appointment appointment and monitoring appointment and	Public Cludes ESG issues ers. Station includes ESG property managers. ers incorporated ES G is effectively integraphes of how the ma	Core Assessed in your selection, appointment and issues in your selection, appointment G issues	or monitoring ent and/or vernance and set and	



 \square Other, explain

	Coverage
	• >75% to 100%
	○ >50% to 75%
	O <50%
Z (Contractual requirements when appointing property managers includes ESG issues
	Types of actions
	$\overline{\!$
	$\hfill\square$ Require dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant asset and property management phases
	☐ Clear ESG performance targets
	☐ Other, explain
	Coverage
Ī	• >75% to 100%
	○ >50% to 75%
	O <50%
Z N	Monitoring of property managers covers ESG responsibilities and implementation
	Types of actions
Ī	$\ensuremath{\square}$ Performance against quantitative and material environmental / resource targets over specified timeframe.
	$\hfill \square$ Performance against quantitative and material environmental / resource targets against relevant benchmarks
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ Performance against quantitative and qualitative targets to address social impacts of the portfolio/investment,
	☐ Other, explain
	Coverage
	● >75% to 100%
	○ >50% to 75%
	O <50%

PR 07.3

Provide a brief description of your organisations selection, appointment and monitoring of third party property managers and how they contribute to the management of ESG issues for your property investments.

We choose property managers either via tendering process or through negotiation. We favour candidates which are well known and reputable companies. Both invitation for tenders and signed contracts include ESG themes, such as:

- Special knowledge in energy efficiency matters
- Targets and indicators for tenant satisfaction, energy efficiency and consumption of water, electricity and heating.

We meet third party property managers regularly and follow their work. Managers are obligated to report for example on consumption of water, heating and electricity as well as carbon footprint.



Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

Overview

PR 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

PR 08.1

Indicate if your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

Yes

PR 08<u>.2</u>

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in the following post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

- ☑ We consider ESG issues in property monitoring and management
- ☑ We consider ESG issues in property developments and major renovations.
- ☑ We consider ESG issues in property occupier engagements
- ☑ We consider ESG issues in community engagements related to our properties
- ☐ We consider ESG issues in other post-investment activities, specify

PR 08.3

Describe how your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities related to your property assets.

Our practices are explained more in detail in our responsible investment policy for real estate and in Varma's climate policy for investments. Our climate policy was published in May 2016 and the practices in 2014 /updated year 2019.

We participate in the energy efficiency agreement for the Finnish property sector. The program has as target to reduce the energy consumption by 7,5 per cent from 2017 to 2025. About 100 of our commercial and residential properties participate the program. Progress of the program is monitored on an annual basis. Third-party techical managers and a consultant support us in implementing the program. The consultant suggests actions to reduce the properties' energy consumption, follows up and reports the results. We keep close contact with the third party consultant and technical mangers and we have several meetings during the year to keep us updated on the progress.

Our third party technical managers measure and follow regularly the consumption of water, heating and electricity of our properties. They should both react on possible abnormalities and try to reduce the consumption according to the target set together by manager and Varma. Our goal is to seek energy efficient ways in day-to-day actions. Our technical mangers calculate annually the carbon footprint of Varma's properties.

We consider energy efficient solutions when repairing and refurbishing existing properties and constructing new buildings.

We pay attention to creating and maintaining lively environment around some of our larger core holdings that often combine offices, residential, retail and leisure premises. A successful achievement on enhancing the quality of community has been a contemporary art event Finlayson Art Area sponsored by us in Tampere. The event is free to public and art works and activities have now occupied parts of the vacant indoor spaces and outdoor areas during four consecutive summers. The City of Tampere has granted the event an award for the best cultural achievement of year 2016. The event increases the popularity of the area and enhances the business of our retail and leisure tenants and makes the area more appealing for our office occupiers.

 \bigcirc No



Property monitoring and management

PR 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2,3

PR 09.1 set and

Indicate the proportion of property assets for which your organisation, and/or property managers, set and monitored ESG targets (KPIs or similar) during the reporting year.

- \bigcirc >90% of property assets
- 51-90% of property assets
- 10-50% of property assets
- <10% of property assets

(in terms of number of property assets)

PR 09.2

Indicate which ESG targets your organisation and/or property managers typically set and monitor

☑ Environmental

Target/KPI	Progress Achieved
Reduction of carbon footprint by 15 % by year 2020 / residential and commercial properties	Cumulative reduction of 17% was achieved year 2018.
Reduction of water, electricity and heating consumption by 1 % / residential properties	Reduction was achieved in electricity consumption.
Reduction of energy consumption by 10 % during years 2017-2025, measured according to energy-saving actions made, comparison year is 2015 / commercial and residential.	Cumulative reduction of 4 % in commercial and 12 % in residential properties was achieved during years 2017-18.

Target/KPI	Progress Achieved
Tenant satisfaction benchmark / commercial and residential properties.	The target Varma had set to tenant satisfaction was achieved in commercial properties but not in residential properties.

- ☐ Governance
- ☐ We do not set and/or monitor against targets

PR 09.3

Additional information. [Optional

The property technical managers monitor the consumption of water, heating and electricity monthly. The property managers' responsibility is to react on increased consumption. We have also set a yearly target to third party manager who manages residential properties to reduce consumption level of water, heating and electricity. The carbon footprint reduction target has been set as well as the energy consumption reduction target.

PR 10 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2



PR 10.1

Indicate whether your property assets are assessed against certification schemes, ratings and/or benchmarks

Yes

PR 10.2

List the certification schemes, ratings and/or benchmarks your property assets are assessed against and what proportion of your property assets they apply to.

☑ Add certification scheme, rating and benchmark 1

Specify	BREEAM in-use sertification
Proportion of property assets these apply to	○ >90% of property assets
	○ 51-90% of property assets
	● 10-50% of property assets
	○ <10% of property assets
	(in terms of number of property assets)

- $\hfill\square$ Add certification scheme, rating and benchmark 2
- ☐ Add certification scheme, rating and benchmark 3

○ No

PR 10.3

Indicate if your organisation uses property specific reporting standards to disclose information related to your property investments' ESG performance.

- ☐ Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Construction & Real Estate Sector Supplement (CRESS)
- ☐ Other property reporting standards, specify
- ☑ No property specific reporting standards are used

PR 10.4

Additional information

15 of Varma's buildings was BREEAM (In-Use) -sertified during year 2018. Asset Performance was assessed. All properties got grade Good or Very Good. All together 38 Varma's buildings are BREEAM -sertified.

Property developments and major renovations

PR 11 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2



PR 11.1

Indicate the proportion of active property developments and major renovations where ESG issues have been considered.

- >90% of active developments and major renovations
- 51-90% of active developments and major renovations
- 10-50% of active developments and major renovations
- <10% of active developments and major renovations
- O N/A, no developments and major renovations of property assets are active

(by number of active property developments and refurbishments)

PR 11.2

Indicate if the following ESG considerations are typically implemented and monitored in your property developments and major renovations.

- ☐ Environmental site selection requirements
- ☐ Environmental site development requirements
- ☑ Sustainable construction materials
- ☑ Water efficiency requirements
- ☑ Energy efficiency requirements
- ☐ Energy generation from on-site renewable sources
- ☑ Waste management plans at sites
- ☑ Health and safety management systems at sites
- ☐ Health and wellbeing of residents
- ☑ Construction contractors comply with sustainability guidelines
- ☐ Resilient building design and orientation
- ☐ Other, specify

PR 11.3

Additional information. [Optional

When we do property developments and refurbishments we consider for example the following issues concerning the construction work and site:

- The construction waste is mainly recycled in construction sites.
- We demand a plan of safety systems on site at least in bigger construction sites.
- The energy and water efficient construction materials and systems are considered and also used if good solution is found.
- The biggest construction companies we usually use, have sustainability guidelines.

We have internal professionals whose responsibility is to monitor the construction work and site. They keep close contact with construction companies and consultants working with the development and refurbishment projects.

Occu	pier engagement			
PR 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2



PR 12.1

Indicate the proportion of property occupiers your organisation, and/or your property managers, engaged with on ESG issues during the reporting year.

- >90% of occupiers
- 50-90% of occupiers
- 10-50% of occupiers
- <10% of occupiers</p>

(in terms of number of occupiers)

PR 12.2

Indicate if the following practises and areas are typically part of your, and/or your property managers', occupier engagements.

- ☑ Distribute a sustainability guide to occupiers
- ☑ Organise occupier events focused on increasing sustainability awareness
- ☐ Deliver training on energy and water efficiency
- ☐ Deliver training on waste minimisation
- ☐ Provide feedback on energy and water consumption and/or waste generation
- ☐ Provide feedback on waste generation
- ☑ Carry out occupier satisfaction surveys
- ☑ Health and wellbeing of residents
- ☐ Offer green leases
- ☐ Other, specify

PR 12.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Tenant satisfaction survey is done annually to both residential and commercial tenants. Survey is part of tenant satisfaction benchmark and we have possibility to compare our results to the results of similar property investors. Both the survey and benchmark are carried out by the consultant.

We have arranged some tenant meetings where for example information on waste recycling have been given. We have also sent a guide how to recycle and reduce energy consumption to our residential tenants.

PR 13 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

PR 13.1

Indicate the proportion of all leases signed during the reporting year that used green leases or the proportion of Memoranda of Understandings (MoUs) with reference to ESG issues.

- >90% of leases or MoUs
- O 50-90% of leases or MoUs
- 10-50% of leases or MoUs
- O <10% of leases or MoUs
- 0% of leases or MoUs
- O N/A, no leases or MoUs were signed during the reporting year

(in terms of number of leases or MoUs)



Community engagement PR 14 Voluntary **Public Additional Assessed** PRI 2 Indicate what proportion of property assets your organisation, and/or your property managers, engaged with the community on ESG issues during the reporting year. PR 14.1 \bigcirc >90% of property assets ○ 50-90% of property assets ○ 10-50% of property assets <10% of property assets </p> (in terms of number of property assets) Indicate if the following areas and activities are typically part of your, and/or your property PR 14.2 managers', community engagement.

□ ESG education programmes for the community
 □ ESG enhancement programmes for public spaces
 ☑ Research and networking activities focusing on ESG issues
 □ Employment creation in communities
 □ Supporting charities and community groups
 □ Other, specify

PR 14.3 Additional information

Varma has been supporting KTI Finland, an independent research organisation in Finland, to produce a report about responsible real estate business which is published yearly.

Outputs and outcomes PR 15 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2 PR 15.1 Indicate whether your organisation measures how your approach to responsible investment in property investments has affected financial and/or ESG performance. We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' ESG performance PR 15.2b Describe the impact on the following.



Describe the impact on:	Impact
Funds' ESG performance	Positive
	○ Negative
	O No impact

☐ None of the above

PR 15.3 Describe how you are able to determine these outcomes

Water, heating and electricity consumption of the properties is measured and followed by third party manager who also calculates the changes in energy consumption. The level of carbon footprint is also calculated and followed. These results are reported to us on yearly basis.

PR 16	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1,3
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

PR 16.1

Provide examples of ESG issues that affected your property investments during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1

ESG issue	CO2 emissions
Types of properties affected	Commercial properties
Impact (or potential impact) on investment	Reducing energy consumption of the property.
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and the outcomes	Varma installed solar panels to two of Varma's properties during year 2018.

☑ Add Example 2

ESG issue	Social and CO2 emissions
Types of properties affected	Commercial
Impact (or potential impact) on investment	Offering tenants possibility to reduce CO2 -emissions and also better services of the property they have leased their permises from.
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and the outcomes	Varma installed loading places for hybrid and electric cars to three of Varma's properties during year 2018.

☑ Add Example 3



ESG issue	Water consumption
Types of properties affected	Residential
Impact (or potential impact) on investment	Reduction of water consumption and maintenance costs.
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and the outcomes	Varma has installed watersaving equipments to 11 residential properties.

☑ Add Example 4

ESG issue	CO2 emissions
Types of properties affected	Residential
Impact (or potential impact) on investment	Reduction of CO2 emissions
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and the outcomes	Varma is using green energy in almost 70 % of residential properties.

$\ \ \, \square$ Add Example 5

ESG issue	CO2 emissions
Types of properties affected	Residential
Impact (or potential impact) on investment	Reduction of heating consumption.
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and the outcomes	Varma has installed system that is optimizing the need of heating to 51 residential buildings.

